



---

# The Solari Report

April 5, 2018

---

## 1st Quarter Wrap Up News Trends & Stories Part I With Dr. Joseph Farrell



Catherine Austin Fitts



Dr. Joseph P Farrell



# 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Wrap Up News Trends & Stories Part I with

**Dr. Joseph Farrell**

**April 5, 2018**

---

**C. Austin Fitts:** Ladies and gentlemen, this is Catherine Austin Fitts and this is Part I of News Trends & Stories of the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2018 Wrap Up. I am with Dr. Joseph Farrell, and so happy to be with him.

Actually, the Solari team has been working very hard for the past month to pull together our assessment of what has happened in the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter, and there is a great deal. Joseph and I have been going back and forth by email, but given how many unbelievably amazing things that have happened, we are going to organize this News Trends & Stories into our picks for the Top Ten Stories.

What I'm going to do is publish them also as chapters so that you can listen to the chapters individually. We have plenty to talk about, and we're going to go as long as we feel is necessary to cover the topic.

Let me start with what I think is by far and away the most important story, not only to what has been happening in the last quarter, but it's the most important for you to think about in terms of your personal life – where you live, how you live, and how prepared you are to handle the future successfully and navigate the times before us.



We talked about how to describe it, and decided that we would call **Story #1: 'Marketing the Rape of Russia to North America'**. We don't mean the Russian canard of trying to start a war with Russia; we'll get to that later. What we mean is that in the 1990's the US intelligence agencies and banks and law firms went into Russia, and they basically raped the place. We've had Anne Williamson on The Solari Report, and she has described it in a series of Solari Reports that we will make sure are linked to this News Trends & Stories Part I.

We have talked on several occasions about the danger of the 'Rape of Russia' being tried in North America, and now my concerns are at red alert. Before we dive into story #1, Joseph, welcome to The Solari Report.

**Dr. Joseph Farrell:** Thanks for having me back.

**Fitts:** Do you have your cigarettes rolled?

**Farrell:** I have my cigarettes rolled and ready to go!

**Fitts:** Maybe you could say a few words about the 'Rape of Russia' and what happened.

**Farrell:** After the collapse of the Soviet Union, I think most people are familiar with the basic story. The state industries under the communist state began to be sold off and privatized. The problem was that the way the oligarchs set it up, largely with American backing, it was backed by Harvard University.



**Fitts:** Harvard was set up as the financial advisor, and Harvard led the design?

**Farrell:** Right. Harvard led the design, and what happened was they were picking up all of these state industries for pennies on the dollar – much below what they were actually worth. So, it enriched a certain segment of the old communist nomenklatura, and at the same time, it gave an undue American influence over Russian policy and the Russian state.

Of course, Putin came along. He was actually mentored by Yeltsin who oversaw the whole process of the rape. Putin put a stop to it, and that has really been the problem ever since. Putin is looking out for Russia first – for Russian national interests. In the process, of course, he cracked down on the oligarchs and imprisoned some of them.

**Fitts:** Right, and part of the problem was who controlled the oil.

**Farrell:** Exactly. Gazprom is the big Russian oil company.

**Fitts:** Was it Russian interests, or was it the Anglo-American Alliance?

**Farrell:** At the time that he came into power, I think that it was probably about 50/50. I've never looked at the exact distribution of the ownership of that, but there was a heavy influence in there. You saw that influence also in the development of some of the Transcaucasia oil fields and pipelines. He put a stop to this, and this is really what is behind all of this anti-Russian hysteria. The bankers weren't able to go in there and just rape the country.



**Fitts:** I'll tell you a very interesting story. What you were describing and what Harvard set up was an auction process where only a few people had the knowledge and were aware of what was really happening and had the cash and were set up to go. So, you didn't have real proper marketing.

So, people picked things up way below market prices, and it was a steal. It was the art of the steal.

The group that did this in Russia in the 1990's did the Iran-Contra fraud in the 1980's. The financial fraud in the 1980's really was the first explosive, out of control fraud.

My job as Assistant Secretary of HUD was on the RTC Board. A colleague of mine from Salomon Brothers went in to run the RTC, and called me and was hysterical. They were preparing to start the auctions of the interests. He said that Nick Brady, who had been the Chairman of Dillon Read when I was a partner there, and Alan Greenspan, did not want to provide financing to the bidders. He said that it was going to cut the prices in half because the private market won't offer financing.

He said, "If the government offers it, they will offer and compete. But if the government won't do it, they won't. It will go off on \$0.50 on the dollar. Can you come over and help me? We have a board meeting in the cash room. Can you come over and help?"



I came over prepared to help this man, and I was listening to the discussion. It was Greenspan, Nick, and I was there on behalf of the Secretary of HUD. What I realized was, “Oh my God! They wanted to go off at half because their friends were going to buy. They wanted to go cheaper.”

**Farrell:** It’s insider trading.

**Fitts:** What was very interesting was, if you fast-tracked back a month, I had a party at my house for my confirmation, and it happened impromptu because we never knew when I was going to be confirmed.

It turned out to be the very day that they passed the RTC legislation. So, I called Richard Bree, who was moving over from the White House to be Chairman of the SEC, to invite him. He said, “Oh, Bob has a group of people working.”

Bob Glauber was the Under Secretary of the Treasury. He said, “Bob Glauber has a group of people working on the legislation. Why don’t you tell Bob to come over because they just finished?”

So, we ended up celebrating Richard’s appointment to the SEC and Glauber finishing the ‘whatever’. So, I called Bob and said, “I’m having this big dinner party. Do you want to bring your friends and come over?”

He said, “Oh, yeah. That will be fabulous. There is one guy I want you to take really good care of.”



I said, “I’ll put you both at my table.”

I had a three-story brownstone, and had these ten-person tables spread throughout the house. I was out on the patio overlooking the park, and Glauber was there. This man sat next to me, and I said, “It’s nice to meet you.”

He was a German and I said to him, “What have you been doing?”

He said, “I’ve been here working on the legislation with Bob.”

I said, “Oh really? What do you do?”

He said, “Oh, I work for Max Warburg in Germany.”

I was pinching myself. I realized, “Here we go again!” The Warburgs are over here signing our legislation. But when you think about it, you were talking about a major global move, and you needed the G7 to be in accord. So that kind of coordination was coordinating with Deutsche Bank and the complete G7 and the ECB establishment. The ECB hadn’t been created yet, but fast-forward to the cash room a month or two later, and Greenspan and Nick are trying to argue for no financing, and things go off at half on the dollar.

What you saw at the first auction was they had financed these assets, and these people had done all sorts of different scams, and had sold it at ten times the market value at the high because they were in on the deal. Then the thing drops, and the same people are bidding and buying it back at \$0.10 on the dollar.



You're thinking, "Wait a minute. This is a scam."

Then fast-forward, and they did it in Russia, but in a much bigger way.

**Farrell:** It was huge; gigantic.

**Fitts:** If you look at the law firms, and at the people and the banks, it was the same group.

There is a very famous commentary I wrote called *Financial Coup d'état*. I was in London giving a paper called *The Myth of the Rule of Law* about what I call the 'piratization'. When you privatize something at market, it's privatization. When you privatize it below market, it's 'piratization', and it's a scam. It's a way of transferring assets out of the government for pennies on the dollar, so I call it 'piratization'.

I was in London in 2001, and was giving my paper on the 'piratization' in the United States. That is where I met Anne Williamson. She got up and started explaining the 'piratization' occurring in Russia. Then John Loughlin stood up, and started explaining. John Loughlin has done several Solari Reports who is amazing, too. I've had both of them on.

John started talking about the 'piratization' happening in Eastern Europe. Then I gave my paper on the US, and we were in shock and so was everyone. John and the people who had launched and everyone realized that these are the same companies. It's Goldman Sachs; it's the same law firm; it's the same covert side of the CIA and Treasury; it's a plan; it's a syndicate, and they are doing it everywhere.



We were all only looking at our little piece. It was as if we were looking at one foot of the hippo, and they were looking at their foot of the hippo. Everybody is looking at a different foot, or the tail, or the head of the hippo, but it was by coming together and looking at it together that we were able to say, “Oh my God! There is a financial coup d’état occurring.”

**Farrell:** Yes, it was a global plan.

**Fitts:** It was a global plan, and part of it was bubbling the US economy so that you could suck all the money out and play this game globally.

So, we watched this global plan and we watched it in the fraud. We’ve had waves of black budget fraud in the United States, which has really designed much of the financial technology. So, we’ve watched this financial technology be used as a weapon of war. It’s economic and financial warfare, and it was used in the 1980’s to do the housing bubble and the Iran-Contra fraud. Then it was used in the 1990’s to do the ‘piratization’ globally, including the ‘Rape of Russia’.

The ‘Rape of Russia’, even though they did it in Eastern Europe, and even though they did it in the United States, the ‘Rape of Russia’ was particularly hideous because you’re talking about shutting the government down overnight. It was a collapse of 50% or more of incomes and the disappearance of pension funds. So, you take your entire world of financially secure people, and you leave them high and dry.



**Farrell:** It's important to note that the 'Rape of Russia' also resulted in many Russians dying. There was starvation, food deliveries halted, and much happening that didn't make the Western news. People need to bear that in mind as we proceed here.

**Fitts:** Yes, and the poverty rates skyrocketed.

I think you had a die-off of approximately 25 million people. It was genocide.

**Farrell:** It was a significant portion of the population.

**Fitts:** In World War II, 26 million Russians died winning World War II for the allies.

**Farrell:** At least that many.

**Fitts:** You're talking about a similar level of death.

If you listen to some of the cover people, it's very interesting.

**Farrell:** Yes, we are going to be talking about marketing schemes done under the guise of being Libertarian, but not genuinely Libertarian. It's a marketing scheme.

**Fitts:** They say that the reason they didn't take it all the way down is they realized that the mafia was going to control the nuclear arsenal, and that is why they didn't take it all the way down, and that's why Putin could bring it back. So, they are rather irritated about that.



Here is why I bring this up. The person's name was Adam Kokesh. He stood up, and said, "Here is what we are going to do. We are going to run for President, and when we become President, we are going to dissolve the US government. Then we're going to create a trust that owns all the assets still remaining owned by the US – because we've stolen everything out the back door. But there are some things that you can't steal. You can't steal the national parks out the back door."

**Farrell:** That and the highways and airports and the whole nine yards.

**Fitts:** He continued, "So what we're going to do is put everything in a trust, and auction it off."

**Farrell:** The 'Rape of Russia' comes to North America.

**Fitts:** Yes, the 'Rape of Russia' comes to North America.

**Farrell:** And don't forget how he is financing all this.

**Fitts:** One of the things that he disclosed is that he was being financed by people sending him bitcoin, and didn't know who they were; they were anonymous.

Put aside the fact that, under the law if you're running for President, you have to disclose all of your donors. So, you know that there is massive disclosure required.

I thought, "Fine. The agency is financing this guy."



It also turns out that he has a felony rap, but the felony never went on his record. It happened in Virginia.

I said to myself, “It’s very likely this guy has a leash.”

But let’s not focus on him because I don’t think that is what is important here.

The crowd was clapping for him, and I was in shock. He trying to propose this as something fashionable and cool. I’ll put the link up. This is all recorded, and you can watch this entire speech and my confrontation and Harry Blazer’s confrontation with him.

I stood up and said to him, “This is very interesting because this is the plan called ‘The Rape of Russia’, and they did this in Russia, and 25 million people died. It’s genocide. Let me tell you what is going to happen: 50% or more of the cash flows in every county come from the Federal government. So, what you’re going to do is stop military pensions, social security, government contracts, government grants, and 50% or more of the income in the county just like that.”

I didn’t go into detail there, but essentially, I will describe what happens. Most people in a county have three weeks of food, three weeks of cash – if they’re lucky – and they can go for three weeks without eating. So that is nine weeks and then they die-off.

When that happens, the only way that they can protect themselves is if they have a gun. We’ll get back to guns later.



So, this guy completely blows me off and says, “Well, everything will be wonderful because we’ll have bitcoin,” and he made no sense at all.

Then Harry stood up and said, “Now I want you to make it clear to everybody about this evidence that you’re talking about is cancelling their social security checks and their parents’ social security checks and their grandparents’ social security checks.” And I had already pointed out that under these circumstances Goldman Sachs would be able to buy everything at pennies on the dollar.

I was in a state of shock because I knew that if this man was at the Aspen Institute pushing it, then the intelligence agencies are trying to see if they can get this going. First, they need to pull in the guns because this is ‘the big steal’. They can’t pull this off unless they pull in the guns. So that is number one.

Number two, they have to make it fashionable with the young people.

**Farrell:** And it’s important for people to understand what we are saying here. We are saying that this is a marketing scheme. It is going to be pushed to the public, and they are going to appeal, primarily, to the conservative and Libertarian part of the public. They are going to say, “This is going to yield smaller government. We are going to privatize a lot of the stuff,” and they are even going to pull in the fact that this was part of American history, and you can see this in Libertarian apologetics recently – the idea that the country functioned with private roads for the first few decades of its existence, “So why not go back to this model and trim the size of the government? We can sell off all these assets.”



That is part of the marketing scheme. The key part of this here is the funding via bitcoin. We have been warning about bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. I've done blogs on my website about the fact that it's now known that these cryptocurrencies are being used to fund the activities of some very nefarious groups. The fact that they are pushing this marketing scheme with the financing from cryptocurrencies tells you right there that this is a huge, huge scam.

**Fitts:** It was really coincidental because we've talked much about bitcoin and where it might go in price. The one thing that I've said, and it has been pumped and dumped and pumped and dumped, but when the price dropped 50%, people said, "Bitcoin is going to fail."

I said, "No, it won't. They would never give this up before they get this done."

I think that they want to take it all the way because a digital currency is complete control. But they would never give this up. This is the most beautiful laundering scheme that they ever had to finance political activities.

I left Aspen, and we talked a great deal about this when it happened. I had this horrible feeling and was deeply afraid.

The more that I kept talking to financial professionals and other people, the more I thought that this is too much to get done in the next four-year cycle. They will never be able to bust through all of the different internal controls that they need to get this done.

So, I'm thinking, "Maybe I was just a fretter, and got too afraid."



I got home from the Aspen Institute, and was talking with someone, and the thing that scared me was not what this guy was saying, or the fact that the intelligence agencies and the ziocons were going to try to do this. The thing that scared me was that everyone thought that this person was fine. “Oh, I like him. He’s nice.”

It was as though we were having a policy disagreement. It’s as if ‘it’s not real’. What they don’t understand is that this is very real, and words matter. Words have meaning. If you allow something which is basically a genocide plan to become fashionable or acceptable to you, they are going to drive a truck through that window. You’re giving them a window, and they are going to drive a truck through it.

**Farrell:** And it’s important to note this. We’ve talked about the four principles, and I want to review these for people so that they have no misunderstandings of what we are saying. This is a marketing scheme, and they are going to market it through appeals to small government, “draining the swamp”.

**Fitts:** There is a complete marketing plan on the left side, and there is another marketing plan on the right side.

**Farrell:** There is going to be a marketing op on the left side, and they are going to market this as smaller government, “draining the swamp,” appealing to conservative/Libertarian principles on the right side.

**Fitts:** The Q-Anon is definitely part of this.



**Farrell:** Oh, yes. We are going to talk about that, too. That is another dot in our thinking.

This Adam Kokesh is being anonymously funded through bitcoin. In other words, there is a cryptocurrency aspect to this. As you've pointed out, in order for this to work, they have to round up the guns. As we've seen, they are pressing full-time for that. But there is another aspect of this that we've talked about, which is running concurrently with this, and that is all these calls for Constitutional Convention.

In other words, I would put this into it.

**Fitts:** Yes. They've stolen \$21 trillion plus, and they've stolen more with the bailouts. My number is \$50 trillion, but I can take that higher. There is only one way that we can get that money back, and that is to enforce the Constitution. The only way that they can make sure that they keep that money is to tear up the Constitution.

**Farrell:** Tear up the Constitution, legitimize the 'privatization', and rape of the assets of the country – that is what they are trying to do.

**Fitts:** Yes. If you tear up the Constitution, you can keep the \$50 trillion, but then you can steal the rest. You can double down on the theft. If you look at the assets that they can spin out, they can make another \$50 trillion.



**Farrell:** This is big and it makes the most sense. As far as we are concerned, it's connecting the most data points of all the absurd stories that we've seen lately.

**Fitts:** Let me talk about some more absurd stories. Let's go through all the absurd stories, and then come back to the big picture.

When I flew back from the Netherlands and was landing, our Solari website went down. We've spent six weeks in intense warfare over email blockings, over phone and mailing problems, and many other things that I won't go into here. We have full spectrum war, and it's clear to me from a variety of different kinds of feedback, that the first thing we did that gave offense was published the pension fund article. We went down the day after we published that.

We were able to demonstrate how they are using the government as a laundry mechanism to take money out of our pension funds and turn back IOUs from ourselves, when they are stealing the money out the back door.

I'll tell you something: It's hard to enforce the Constitution through the courts, but it's not hard to say to thousands of pension fund trustees over the country, "You should stop buying Treasuries." That is harder for them to control and that is number one.

The other thing is that we were putting up many videos on the Parkland gun shooting, and were about to do a Solari Report with Jon Rappoport. I think that in combination – and I didn't connect the dots at that time – put them over the top.



**Farrell:** That is when the dots started to click.

**Fitts:** That is when they declared war on us. That is why my attitude is: I didn't see the full spectrum of the marketing plan to do a 'Rape of Russia', but they obviously did because they are implementing it. Now I get it. Now the important issue for me is: How do we stop it?

We were working on the site – and I had a fair amount of very detailed conversations with Dennis Kucinich, who I've always been a huge fan of. Kucinich had the courage to bring up global spraying, and had the courage to try to impeach Cheney, and has had tremendous courage on important points going up against, what I call, the 'procentralization team'. He has risked his life on many occasions. He has survived many assassination attempts, and is an amazing, amazing guy.

I had agreed that I was going to help him run for Governor of Ohio. I met with him when I was in Washington last October. Suddenly, I'm working on getting the site back up and dealing with cyber warfare, and Kucinich starts coming out with a series of mailings, making his number one campaign issue gun control, but gun control in a way that is really going to help them do the 'Rape of Russia'.

So here is Dennis Kucinich throwing himself into helping the people who he has always tried to stop. I was stunned.

**Farrell:** I am, too.



**Fitts:** So I won't go into my discussions with him, but I finally had to say, "I assume that you think this is going to help you win, but I don't want anything to do with it."

Somebody has to tell the truth. I don't care how unpopular it is.

**Farrell:** I think that this scenario makes the most sense out of the most data points of what we've been seeing happening for the last two or three years – Constitutional Convention, the effort to repeal the 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment, which of course, they can't repeal because those are God-given rights, not government-given rights. Then there is this 'privatization' effort. So, this scenario makes the most sense.

**Fitts:** Last year I flew to Bangladesh to get Cynthia McKinney to do an interview, but I wanted to talk with her about it. She had been a Congresswoman, and has been very passionately vocal about the ziocons and how dangerous they are. So, we did an entire session on 'Enforce the Constitution', and how you enforce the Constitution, and the fact that you have to enforce the Constitution. It is our one hope.

I hate to put everything on one thing, but our hope is to defend and protect the Constitution. We have an historical opportunity to change everything towards a human future, but it comes down to what Alexander Hamilton said, "The first duty of society is justice." If there is no justice, there is no society. Then we're talking about slavery.

If you look at technology, that is where they want to go with it: They want to go to slavery.



**Farrell:** If they succeed in a North American ‘Rape of Russia’ scenario, you’re talking about global slavery.

**Fitts:** I spent a week in Bangladesh with Cynthia, and we recorded ‘Enforce the Constitution,’ and later did a follow-up and laid out the complete thing. When the Kokesh thing happened, I warned her about it. I told her that somebody is marketing the ‘Rape of Russia’, and to be careful.

She had tried to do a speaking tour with Robert David Steele, and that didn’t work, so she pulled out. I was not comfortable with Steele, so I wasn’t involved.

She wrote me about two months ago and said, “I’ve gotten a proposal from a publisher to do a book, and I want to get together all the truth tellers and freedom fighters,” and she invited a large number great people – many of whom I recommended.

Well, the week before I was preparing to come over here to record with you, I got an email from her saying that she is now going to accept financing from Adam Kokesh – remember his anonymous bitcoin money? It’s the same as with Steele – anonymous bitcoin money. And Kokesh is writing a chapter in the book.

I fell on the floor and couldn’t believe it. So, when I came in last night I burned up plenty of your time talking about how upset I was.



I went back and forth with her, and said, “I’m out. I want nothing to do with this. If you are going to accept money from Adam Kokesh and you are going to put him in your book, I want nothing to do with the book and I want nothing to do with any of this.”

**Farrell:** If the scheme works, it’s going to hit the African American people – especially the poor ones.

**Fitts:** You’re talking about genociding the African American population. If they do this, it’s not only going to be pulling their money, the covert operations are going to go after them, and they are not going to have guns or money, and they are going to be targeted.

They have done much to lock down those churches. This is really dangerous.

If you look at what the die-off is going to be, the African American population is number one for die-off here.

**Farrell:** I agree.

**Fitts:** Every time they have triggered the riots, they have pulled the drug money. So, they are going to shut that flow off, too.

So, you’re talking about Cynthia helping something which is going to be serious genocide targeted at all Americans, but certainly the African American population. They’re the least capable of handling this.



**Farrell:** They are the most vulnerable, particularly in the inner cities. They have been going after them for years. This idea of selling off infrastructure is crazy. This is totally mad. They will be able to control food shipments in and out of major cities because those national highways will be privatized.

**Fitts:** So, this was my number one shock because I've always thought of Cynthia as someone who could see things coming. I haven't had a chance to talk to her in person, but by email it's as though the Cynthia McKinney that I knew has had a memory wipe. But it's also as though she thinks that this is just a charming discussion, and words don't have meaning.

**Farrell:** Or any impact.

**Fitts:** I don't think that she understands – or she doesn't care and that she is going to make this fashionable.

In other words, all that you need in watching the marches on the gun control with the young people – although I've been told that the average age for the marchers was 49 – is to put a David Hogg out there and make this fashionable and get activists like Kokesch pulling in people like Cynthia McKinney and the other people who they are involving, and give themselves the patina of respectability, and then they drive the truck through. They're not depending on any of these people; they're only trying to get it going with the young people. So, they need some opinion leaders to make it fashionable on the right side and on the left side, and then they have it.



What they've been doing for years is looking for any issue that is going to get them to the point where they can tear up the Constitution. Cynthia sent me the latest one on this woman, Emily, who is saying that we need to rewrite the Constitution for feminine concepts.

**Farrell:** Whatever that means!

**Fitts:** Yes, whatever that means. I sent it to Edwin Vieira, and he was so funny. He wrote back and he said, "Oh, this is just what we need."

**Farrell:** This idea of the Constitutional Convention and rewriting everything is part of this 'Rape of Russia' as applied to a North America scenario. They only need any excuse.

**Fitts:** They will try re-engineering the Dr. Pepper formula if they think that will get them to enforce the Constitution. It's like Baskin Robbins 57 varieties; they are looking at any excuse they can do.

**Farrell:** This is a marketing op. They are trying to get a broad enough appeal to various lobby groups, cross-sections, feminists, Libertarians, conservatives, environmentalists, people who want a smaller government, and people who want to 'drain the swamp'. In other words, this is a marketing operation that is targeting a broad enough base of the population to get everybody on board with this.

Just to clue everybody in, we think that there are other aspects of this scenario that we will get to as we proceed and talk. But this is totally nuts.



**Fitts:** Here is the thing that I want to bring up. We are coming into the 2018 elections, so you are going to have marketing, marketing, marketing coming into 2018, but the big marketing is 2020.

What I'm telling everybody, from the point of view of personal planning, is that if they pull this off, you have to be ready on January 20, 2021 to cope with the 'Rape of Russia' in North America. I'm not saying that they are going to pull it off because we, and a large number of other people, are going to do everything we can to make sure that the word gets out so that they can't pull this off. So, we have our own marketing campaign.

**Farrell:** As you are listening to this, please share it with other people. Please share this scenario and warn people as much as you can – your family, your friends – and pass the word around.

**Fitts:** If you think that you have financial security, the military pension is gone, your social security is gone, your pension fund money is stolen.

Right now, the number one buyer of US Treasury securities is US pension funds. So, imagine if they default on all of the US pension fund debt.

**Farrell:** A privatization scenario would do that – or it could do that.

**Fitts:** They could use the proceeds from the national parks to pay off the treasuries. Given that they are only getting pennies on the dollar, it wouldn't really work.



**Farrell:** They are refinancing the debt in this scenario.

**Fitts:** If this is a serious marketing scheme, then the real question is: Should any of us be owning US Treasury securities?

**Farrell:** Yes, that is the other problem.

**Fitts:** The reality is that these folks have refused to obey the laws related to Federal finances – whether it's the Constitutional Appropriations clause. We know that there is \$21 trillion of undocumented adjustments.

In a private context, you would never lend money to somebody who behaved this way. The stock exchange wouldn't let them trade. The securities dealers wouldn't let them issue securities. The reality is that this is not a credible organization, and now we are seeing a marketing plan that indicates that the bankers and the intelligence agencies think that their way to deal with their refusal to behave in a financially responsible manner is to finish stripping the place.

**Farrell:** That is exactly what it is. It's a debt restructuring plan in a certain sense as well.

**Fitts:** It is exactly that. It is a debt restructuring plan because they have all the leverage when it comes down to it. So, the question is: Should any of us be buying Treasury bills right now?

**Farrell:** I don't and I don't have enough money to do that.



**Fitts:** So we've talked about the guns. Now let's talk about 5G. I'm extremely concerned about 5G, and Jason Bawden Smith has been on The Solari Report to talk about 5G. I just published a book review of Elena Freeland's book, *Under an Ionized Sky*, and it brings together all the different aspects of putting together a global mind control operation that uses the suborbital platform and satellites and the 5G network to basically mind control people.

We've certainly talked plenty about entrainment on The Solari Report, but I think that there is a huge race to get all the 5G towers out and get 5G up and running, and I think that it is because they want to be ready by 2021 to do this.

**Farrell:** I did a story in this connection a couple of weeks ago on my website about how the military is forcing certain states in the West – Washington state in particular – to pass legislation to alter the eminent domain where the military can come in and say, “We want this land. You're going to zone it this way.”

What I suspect is happening here with this eminent domain grab is, precisely, they are going to use this to bring out the 5G.

**Fitts:** Right, and remember that we saw this between the human trafficking executive order and the omnibus bill. Trump has set up a governance structure for the military to operate domestically and, basically, do whatever they want. You combine that with what happened with the fires in Santa Rosa – whether it's the US military who did it or stood down and let it happen.



What we are talking about with the reshoring of capital in North America is that the people who have physical control through military enforcement are going to re-engineer everything, and they are planning on doing it in whatever way they want.

**Farrell:** If you are going to do this ‘Rape of Russia’ scenario, you have to inject the military domestically somehow, and I think that this eminent domain business is part of it. What it effectively does is an end-run around posse comitatus.

**Fitts:** They are using human trafficking as the air cover. So, what we are seeing is a group of people who are very afraid, and what they are saying is that they are going to create ‘fortress America’ and are going to do it in a way where they have lockdown. They are taking no risks, and because they need to be able to bring in so many people and need to be able to breed so many operations, they need entire control of land use. If they need to eradicate 10,000 homes and kill 500 people to burn them all out, they will.

If they will do it in Santa Rosa in the fall of 2017, given all of the controls that they are creating and the powers that they are creating, I think that they need Silicon Valley North fast.

**Farrell:** It’s a beta test they are prototyping.

**Fitts:** Right, they are testing it. If you look at the design of how they did it, it’s very sophisticated relational database management of land use. I think it’s three or four years before they are doing it in places in the heartland.



**Farrell:** I give it about the same short timeframe.

**Fitts:** Then we're talking about the Phoenix Program. This is their place, and we are in the way. "You have to go."

This is very dangerous activities. The farmer is coming, we are the chickens and the farmer has a plan.

**Farrell:** That plan involves an axe.

**Fitts:** We talked about 5G, we talked about guns, we talked about the progressives, and we talked about the conservative side. There is one other thing that we need to talk about, and that is this latest attack on the SES system.

The SES system as a governance structural matter is a very good system and a very fine system. Basically, what it does is breeds up a Mandarin class whose job is to provide very long-term stability and expertise. It gives the government real muscle.

We've seen over the last 30 years private banks and corporations take over more and more internal control and power within the operations of government – all to the bad.

The reason the \$21 trillion went missing was because banks and private corporations controlled. If the Mandarin class is loyal to the deep state, it's a problem. If the Mandarin class is loyal to the law, it's a problem for the banks and corporations, but it's not a problem.



So, we've seen a series of groups come out and attack the SES and say that the SES is the deep state. Well, that is complete ya-ya. The SES is the government Mandarin class, and the question is: Who are they loyal to, and who manipulates them?

If there is a problem that way – and there always is – or if you read Prouty on how the government is manipulated, or if you read my articles or talk to me about how it's manipulated, the SES system is definitely used to manipulate the government to the good and to the bad. So, it's both.

**Farrell:** But if you want to do a 'Rape of Russia', and want to bring in a Constitutional Convention to shred the Constitution and seize the guns, then one of the things that you don't want is any bureaucratic stability.

**Fitts:** The SES class is not going to go along with the 'Rape of Russia', and the reason that Putin was able to bring it back is because they never stripped the SES class completely in Russia because they didn't want the nuclear weapons to fall into the hands of the mafia. They learned their lesson in Russia because now they're dealing with Putin, and they don't like it.

Now they understand, "We have to get rid of the SES class."

So, they will have a private company, i.e. Bechtel, ready to take the nuclear arsenal. They've already put Bechtel into the national labs.



Now that they have Bechtel in place, they say, “Okay, we don’t have to worry about the mafia getting it because our mafia has it. Now we can strip the SES because that was their mistake in Russia.”

**Farrell:** In other words, the privatization – or the rape – is across the board. The military’s weapons are assets. If you’re going to privatize assets, those things get turned over to the corporations, particularly the nuclear weapons.

I don’t know about other people, but I don’t want corporations having nuclear weapons. I really don’t.

**Fitts:** Eisenhower threw Stephen Bechtel out of his office in 1954 because Bechtel was lobbying to own a nuclear weapon. Eisenhower went ballistic. The Bechtel’s have been trying to get control of the nuclear weapons for a long time.

Disclosure: They were the lead investor in Dillon Read at one point when I was working there. Did you hear this story? Peter Flanagan was walking the older Bechtel, Stephen Sr., around Dillon Read. He was in the energy group, and I worked in the energy group at the time. He walked up, and Bechtel had these huge glasses, and he was short. Peter said, “I want you to meet Austin Fitts. She’s the Vice President in the energy group.”

Bechtel looked at me and said, “Far out! A chick investment banker.”

It was very funny! I was laughing intensely. “A chick investment banker.” He was from San Francisco



**Farrell:** Oh my!

**Fitts:** It was in the days before we had to be politically correct.

I did a great interview last year with Sally Denton about her new book on Bechtel. Obama moved Bechtel in to take control of all the laboratories, and she quoted one of the scientists. The stories of what happened after they got in are frightening, but she quoted one scientist as saying that it was like the worst combination of Goldman Sachs and the parking ticket bureaucracy in a municipality.

**Farrell:** I can believe it.

**Fitts:** So, we've talked about Santa Rosa and the fires and using the military domestically to re-engineer everything, including land use. We've talked about the SES, we've talked about left and right Republicans, we've talked about 5G mind control, we've talked about bringing in the guns, but we haven't talked about false flags.

Parkland school shooting, one way or another, whatever it was, was a false flag.

**Farrell:** I view all of these school shootings without exception – going back to Columbine – as false flags. There is now a clear pattern that somebody is financing and weaponizing children for the purpose of rounding up guns. There is no doubt in my mind that it's all part of this 'Rape of Russia' scenario.

Sadly, if they are going to make this stick, we can expect more of it. In other words, I will put it very bluntly: The shooting war has begun.



**Fitts:** You have to tolerate me because I'm going to give you a great compliment. Your great strength is that you can integrate things across many different worlds. You're a master at it. It's almost as though you know how they think, and you know how the leadership operates. You can connect the dots. A great deal has been done to keep the American people from being able to do that.

The piece that I bring to the puzzle is the money. I'm watching how the money works, and often I drive to see you, and explain how the money connects. Then you connect it with all these other things. That's how we get the full picture.

Many years ago, when we figured out this shift from 2.0 to 3.0, you were the one who helped me figure that out because you could connect these dots.

So, what we are laying down for our members and subscribers here is inviting you to see the big picture and connect all these different dots. And it's challenging because you have to understand the individual dots, and then you have to connect them.

Here is why it is really important: Consciousness can change this. If 5-10% of the population see the game now before we go into 2018 elections, and before we go into 2020 elections, and start talking and doing things to stop this, they can. These people operate in the dark and cannot operate in the light.



Your consciousness about this and your speaking up about it can make an enormous difference. It can stop them dead in their tracks, and they're not used to getting stopped dead in their tracks when they do this. They've been successful every time.

Every time that they've done a big takedown stealing assets or money, they pull it off. But I think that there are enough people who see the game.

I told you earlier that the response to our pension fund article has been so heartwarming because they get it. They see the game, they see how the pension funds are being used to siphon off their money, and they get it.

This is not something that we are talking about to entertain you; this is something that a group of the most powerful people in the world are trying to engineer, and they can't engineer it without the help of the population.

**Farrell:** Yes, this is very important. We're not trying to promote fear porn here. This is our honest assessment of what we think is happening. It's our honest attempt to create a model that connects as many data points as we can connect.

**Fitts:** In fact, I want to mention one other thing before we close on Story #1. If you look at many of the pieces that they are putting together to market the 'Rape of Russia', Q-Anon is part of it and are marketing it. As they put the different pieces in place, they are telling you that these pieces are cleaning the swamp, and they're not.



**Farrell:** They only say, “Trust the plan.”

**Fitts:** They are putting into place the different pieces that they need to do the ‘Rape of Russia’.

**Farrell:** And it’s an operation that, as far as I can tell – and I’m glad that you brought this up, and I said this in the interview with Daniel Liszt on *Dark Journalist* and we have talked about this – it makes people utterly passive.

The problem is that Q-Anon is not talking about the types of material that we have been discussing here. In other words, as far as I’m concerned, it’s a massive, massive deflection operation. It’s sleight of hand: “Look over here.”

It keeps people from the power lines, and it has them involved for hours. This has turned into a cottage industry. There is channel after channel on YouTube of people going through this Q material, trying to parse it and figure out what it means. What that does is creates shadow work for them. It’s making them passive. Meanwhile, all of this is going on over here, and it’s right out in the open if you just learn how to connect these dots.

I think this is definitely a part of the operation.

**Fitts:** If instead of doing that, you get in front of your state and local representatives and your Congressional representatives and get in the thick of things, you need to be touching the power lines if you’re buying Treasury securities while you’re reading Q-Anon.



**Farrell:** This is the other part of the operation that I think we have to pay attention to- you have many people now running on the Democratic ticket from the CIA. I don't think that they are going to stop there. I think that they are going to start running many CIA people on the Republican ticket.

This is all being designed and set up so they can control this rollout of the rape operation when they get ready to roll it out. So be alert, and please get your nose out of the Q materials. Please, please, please.

**Fitts:** So 'Marketing *The Rape of Russia* to North America' – I'm reminded of the line in the movie, *Rosemary's Baby*, where she says, "This is really happening."

After I got back from the Aspen Institute, I talked myself into believing that this wasn't really happening, but it really is.

If you look at the time and money that has been spent to get around a McKinney or a Kucinich or a whatever, there is a big command center on this one. Your money is paying for that command center. This 'Rape of Russia' is being funded by your tax dollars. You and your pension funds are financing this operation to destroy the Constitution and destroy America. So, we are financing the people who are trying to kill us.

Make no mistake about it, if they really pull this off in North America, 25+ million Americans will die fast.

**Farrell:** Sadly, they are evil. There is no other way to describe them other than as 'evil'.



**Fitts:** This is going to be very inhuman.

I believe that is the number one story of the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter, and it's the one that is going to have the biggest impact on your lives, but there are plenty of other things to talk about.

Let's move on to **Story #2: 'Rising Interest Rates'**. I have emphasized this with our subscribers before, but I want to emphasize it again. I think that this is one of the reasons they are eager to do a 'Rape of Russia' – they don't know how to handle a rising interest rate scenario, and are afraid of it. I think they are very much coming from a place of fear.

**Farrell:** Definitely.

**Fitts:** It's a fear of how to get fortress America to work for them in a rising interest rate scenario and in a scenario where they lose reserve currency status – or they could.

Since 1980, we've been in a falling interest rate environment, and it's been quite spectacular. It's what some people call 'The Great Bond Bull'. We've had since 1980, almost 40 years of a bull market in bonds.

What is financed by bonds? Well, governments are financed by bonds. So, for 40 years governments have issued more and more debt and more and more debt at cheaper and cheaper rates, and they have just spread the money around.



The reality is that it's over. The Fed has said they are going to continually raise interest rates, but the fact is, they are not raising interest rates; they are chasing LIBOR. The inter-loan banking market for banks has been rising steadily, so the Fed is raising it.

One of the reasons the Fed has pressure to raise it is because savers have gotten destroyed by this. So, in 1980, we could have gone to a bank and put our money in a bank CD and received 8-9%. Now we get nothing.

It's been really a transfer of wealth from the savers to governments. It's financed in government a great amount of assets that they are now looking to steal or have already stolen.

When you raise the cost of capital in the general market, you raise the cost of everything. Every business, every product, every service has a higher cost of capital.

Low interest rates have resulted in tremendous misallocation of capital. So, there are many people who would say that higher interest rates are good, but one thing that it does mean is that the people who stole all of the money can now make more money on their money.

**Farrell:** Exactly.

**Fitts:** So what are we dealing with? We are dealing with rates of inflation on goods and services which are consistently higher than the rates of inflation on income.



We've been dealing with that for years. That is what I call 'the slow burn', but the slow burn is about to accelerate. I don't know if you remember my description of the whipping machine that they designed to increase productivity in slaves, but what we are looking at are both electromagnetic – whether it's the electronics and the physical harassment that is going on through the electronics, or the finances.

They have created a financial and electronic whipping machine that is constantly driving more productivity out of the population, but it's not productivity that falls to our income statement; it's the harvesting machine.

**Farrell:** Absolutely.

**Fitts:** We have a financial whipping machine, and it's about to get turbo-charged. So, that is Story #2. Do you have anything else that you want to say on that?

**Farrell:** No. I'm waiting for the big one.

**Fitts:** One concern that I want to discuss before we close on Rising Interest Rates is that historically, much of the wealth effect for the last eight years, coming out of 2008, was the stock market rising. Much of that was government forcing profits by regulation or government money into large corporations in ways that are good and not so good.

Traditionally in the stock market, as long as the rise in interest rates was within 150 basis points – 3% to 4.5%, so 150 is 1.5% added – the stock market could digest it. If it was more, the stock market couldn't digest it.



We are over three years overdue for a major correction. In any bull market, you get major corrections along the way. It's perfectly natural, but we haven't had one. We're somewhat in this elevator to heaven that, in fact, better reflects the true inflation than many other things.

One of the big questions is: How will the stock market handle this? If it doesn't, you are going to see enormous pressure within the investor class and the corporate class, which historically that pressure has only been solved by going to war.

When we came into the 2016 election, Colonel Lawrence Wilkerson, who I interviewed on The Solari Report, was very prescient. He said, "Forget what the candidates say. Do either of them have the capacity to wean the US stock market and the US economy and the global economy for military and arms sales?"

The clear answer from the last year is, "No." And that is what we said in the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Wrap Up last year in *The Clash of Civilizations*. We talked about it a great deal. Is it possible to engineer a financially economic peace economy? The answer is, "No, the Trump Administration has gone full-bore, double-down on war."

Donald Trump risked his life to become an arms salesman who is paid \$1 a year. You look at him and say, "Why would you do that? Why would you want to be an arms salesman for \$1 a year? Where is the prestige in that?"

So, I think that there is a very big question about what is going on in the stock market, and the problem is that you can only hold the stock market up by centralizing more and more of the economy.



If you look at our ‘Rape of Russia’ coming to North America, that will do it. That will pour huge juice into the big corporations. The question for them is: Can government-engineered privatization replace 100%? Your consumer market is going to drop a lot and become much poorer. Lockheed Martin’s stock is going to do well, but Starbucks is going to get clocked.

**Farrell:** Basically, they have to take up the slack somehow. The military has traditionally been the way that they have to do it.

**Fitts:** Here is how you take up the slack: As Americans die off, if you only bring in the wealthy class from around the world and offer them safe haven, they bring their money. You suck them in. It’s one of the reasons they wanted to get rid of the estate tax – so that you will replace Americans with the people you’ve had engineering your control globally.

So, if you look at the economic hitman model, we go into different countries in South America, and say to a few elites, “We’ll make things very nice for you if you play ball, but we will reduce the rest of the country to poverty, and we will take all the resources.”

So, you have a local 10%. If we lose the unipolar model, those people aren’t safe. They all want to come here, and they have plenty of dough in their private banking at JP Morgan Chase so, you want to make room for them.

**Farrell:** Yes, that is what they are doing.



**Fitts:** So that is the question: What will happen with stocks? Unfortunately, we have a win-lose model now between corporate America and the general population. I've written a wealth about how we can get it back to a win-win, but it's not headed that way.

Somebody once wrote on the internet, "The problem with Fitts is that she keeps being outrun by the darkness."

What I keep saying is, "The darkness will kill itself, and you can't wait for that time to have a network of people ready."

### **Story #3: 'The Squeeze: Large Military Budgets, Treasury Borrowing, and Inflation Spook the Markets'.**

We see Trump come out with a new national security policy, and then Mattis and the DOD come out with a new one. These are big, big budgets and big increases.

On one hand, people in the military would say that this is make-up for the extent to which the military has been stripped. I think that is true because I think that large amounts of money have been flying out through the back door, but they have not kept the line military. They spent plenty of money on wars, and they spent quantities of money out the back door on the black budget, but they have not taken proper care of the line military.

**Farrell:** No, they haven't at all.

**Fitts:** So I think that the military has been stripped.



The military needs to be re-engineered, and they didn't do that. They said, "Let's just increase the budget." So, there was no creative re-engineering. It was just more money, which is the fast way to go, but it's not necessarily the way that ends up with the best military.

If you listen to Oliver Stone's interviews of Putin, you get the sense that they have done the re-engineering.

**Farrell:** Absolutely they have. I've pointed this out in many blogs. We are spending hundreds of millions of dollars on an airplane that doesn't work. It's not as good as the latest Russian planes – which are far more cost effective.

In other words, we have so many dollars now chasing after weapons that our return on investment is declining.

Russia, on the other hand, spends a fraction of what we do on defense, and they are getting something for it. So, throwing more money at the problem won't fix it.

The way that I look at it is that we are throwing so much money at the military, but what are we getting? We are getting ships that can be turned off by obsolescent Russian fighters. I think that the Russians took down GPS over the Eastern Mediterranean a couple of weeks ago. We are getting a bunch of systems that don't work.



The parallel that I draw is with education. We are throwing hundreds of millions of dollars into an educational system that is, not only fraudulent but, in a large effect, is predominantly Marxist. We have a declining ability from our students to read, write, and do ordinary penmanship, do any sort of arithmetic, declining test scores, and you name it across the board. I think the military budget is like the education budget. Why throw more money at a problem when the problem isn't the money; the problem is the system? You need to re-engineer it.

**Fitts:** I think that is one of the biggest things that I see – because after 9/11, the thin went out of control.

**Farrell:** Totally.

**Fitts:** It's, "Turn on the money faucet," and it just grew and grew and grew. Frankly, I think that much of the fascism occurring in this country is people looking for something to do. They don't have anything to do, and so they are trying to market something to do.

**Farrell:** Let's add to this. It's not only boredom and people looking for something to do, but the way I'm looking at this 'Rape of Russia' scenario in North America is that the other assets are the schools.

**Fitts:** Yes, it's the children. They are looking at the children like natural resources.

**Farrell:** They are collateral!



**Fitts:** They are looking at them the way that they look at oil resources or lithium resources. It's all sorts of different things.

**Farrell:** They signaled that change back in the 1970's when Office of Personnel was changed to Office of Human Resources. Well, I'm not a 'resource'.

**Fitts:** They are looking at those youths, and are trying to get them online 24/7 so that they can mind control them and manipulate them and harvest them 24/7.

When Obamacare came out, I told everybody, "Do not put your data in their database. If you put your children's data in their database, you could see your child shot to get their organs because they're a match with somebody who is less than an hour away."

**Farrell:** We're getting no return on investment as far as I'm concerned with military education across the board. This is what the problem is.

**Fitts:** I see several problems. One is that for 80 years we've been on a cost-plus basis, and all these different corporations have built up a culture and an infrastructure, which is inappropriate to the way that the world works and what technology can do now, and they have never been forced to re-engineer.

Part of it is that they've created this culture, and created an infrastructure. That is number one. Number two, is that I've said many, many times that people who run things in a rigged system get stupid.



**Farrell:** Yes, they do.

**Fitts:** I think that there is a feedback loop on the mind control that is making everybody stupid.

**Farrell:** I'm so glad that you said that because I said that for years on the GeorgeAnn Hughes' show. I said that ten years ago. The problem with the global elite – the problem with Mr. Globaloney – is that he has surrounded himself with 'yes men' for so long that they cannot think in any other way. They are fundamentally stupid. They don't have any other playbook because they can't think of one. They are incapable of thought. They have dumbed themselves down in the process of dumbing everybody else down. I am in total agreement with you, there is a feedback loop.

**Fitts:** As a society, we are making each other and ourselves crazy. We have lost critical thinking.

**Farrell:** And we've lost any sort of moral foundation, as well as critical thinking ability. It's showing up in our art, and that creates another feedback loop. That art is pushing the ugliness and the stupidity. There is no transcendence in it.

**Fitts:** I've used this example before. When I was in New York, I had a dear friend who was an unbelievably wealthy investor. His family was phenomenally wealthy. They came from a very wealthy, famous family background. I won't give his name, but he was the black sheep of the family.



They somewhat kicked him out, and he went out at the depths of the fiscal crisis and bought a ton of commercial New York real estate, and of course, he made an even greater fortune on it. He was a very clever man. He was really smart and a very good businessman and I liked him. He was a good person – a human – and he cared about people.

When I lived in New York, he and I used to laugh about the game and what a joke it was and how the establishment would use this mind control and programming and propaganda. We would laugh together. He was like one of us.

I went back to have lunch with him in 1997 or 1998, and as I was sitting at lunch I realized that he had lost that. He now had bought into the complete story of what was happening. He didn't see the financial coup d'état. He didn't see how the money really worked. He had become stupid.

I couldn't believe it because this man was smart as a whip, and had access to all sorts of information that I didn't have access to and he was loaded.

I'm sitting there having lunch with him, and I'll never forget it. I got scared because I realized that this person had become stupid.

I can't tell you how many situations where, if you want to see the establishment really attack me, it's when I have an opportunity going and I'm talking with the board of directors or a group at a very high level. I get in those meetings, and suddenly, people are starved for information.



I'm like the bumblebee. I have the honey, and I'm helping them. They're so excited because they're learning and learning and learning. They're thinking, "Get her out of there!"

"I think we've made ourselves stupid, and that is what scares me."

**Farrell:** Look at the stupidity of our leadership right now trying to ration up all this tension with Russia. You're dealing with people who have no concept of history – what that nation has sacrificed in order to preserve itself.

**Fitts:** They don't care.

**Farrell:** It doesn't occur to them, we're fundamentally stupid. The elite is fundamentally stupid. Across the board, whatever you're dealing with – education, finance, whatever it may be – is fundamentally stupid. Even in the arts, people are fundamentally stupid.

**Fitts:** But there are many, many people within the establishment who I've worked with who are very capable and very brilliant. There are many people in the SES class that I've worked with who are very capable and very brilliant.

There is plenty of potential capacity. I hate to say it, but it's as if they live when they shut off the trance machine. The trance machine needs to shut off.

**Farrell:** It really does. That's a good way to put it and I know what you're talking about.



**Fitts:** For one thing, nobody wants to put on the sunglasses. Watching *They Live*, which is a horrible science fiction movie, but to understand anything on The Solari Report, you really need to see it. ‘The Rape of Russia’ is getting into *They Live*. This is the *They Live* plan.

The squeeze is on, and the markets are spooked. I’ll tell you what the markets see, and this gets back to whether you should own Treasury bills or not. The market is looking at this and saying, “This is not sustainable. The debt is accelerating so much faster than inflation.”

That is why they want to get the assets out of the Federal government because you’re issuing debt many times more than the total.

**Farrell:** They need something on the books with real tangible value, and they don’t have it. That’s the problem.

**Fitts:** There is an enormous amount that the Federal government controls that has tremendous value, but they want to strip it. So, the market is looking at this and seeing it, and saying, “We want a higher interest rate to hold this paper,” but China and the sovereign wealth funds are saying, “Do we really want to own this paper, because we’re financing that which is killing us?”

So, this is the hyperinflation danger. You’re coming into the moment when the entire investment community globally starts to say, “You know something? The game is over.”

When I said during the ‘Marketing the Rape of Russia’ that they are afraid, they are afraid of that moment. Mattis said it during his confirmation hearings.



These are my words, not his. He was subtler about it. But he basically said that we can't depend on the military alone to keep things going.

**Farrell:** We can't rely on them to keep the reserve status of the currency.

**Fitts:** We have to have more going for us than only a gun. What we have going for us is what Trump said in San Diego, which is our mysterious weapons. We're checkmated on nuclear warfare; we don't have first strike capacity against the Russians. That's what it seems to be to me

Trump is trying to get the military back to the point where it can enforce and keep the game going. He's not walking away from the unipolar; he's trying to keep the game going.

**Farrell:** But he's trying to keep it going just long enough for this retrenchment of 'fortress America'. That's the way that it looks to me.

**Fitts:** Right, and that is the way that his national security policy works. His national security policy reads like somebody is planning on being part of a multipolar world, but he is doubling down on unipolar while he is trying to build the multipolar. What they are all saying is, "Get out of our way. We're coming, we're doing this."

This leads us to another piece of the puzzle of what he has to do to build 'fortress America,' and that is **Story #4: 'US Tax Reform & Capital Repatriation'**.

Now doesn't this all make sense?



**Farrell:** Yes. In this scenario we are trying to connect so many data points, and I think that this scenario models it the best – particularly when we get down to Story #5 – but it does make a great deal of sense.

If they are going to seize assets in this ‘Rape of Russia’ scenario, then the other thing they have to have is some liquidity in the system, so they have to bring the capital back.

**Fitts:** It’s capital and operations. I’ll be candid: I think that one of the goals in globalization was that they wanted to bust the unions. But once they busted the unions and developed their robotic sufficient where they don’t need human labor, they can bring everything back, and it’s more economic to do it here.

**Farrell:** Exactly. That is what Ross Perot was saying back in 1992 when he ran for President. This is really about busting the unions and listening to that big sucking sound. That’s what he was trying to say. So, yes, I agree with you. It’s time to bring it back because now we have the robotic technology, we have the 3D printing technology, and we can have dispersed manufacturing, keep everybody in their own little locality, and lock everything down.

**Fitts:** I did a wonderful interview with Stephen Roach, who was the former Morgan Stanley economist that was Vice Chairman at Morgan Stanley in Asia. He wrote a book called *Unbalanced* about the Chinese American relationship, which I think is the best book available.



What I can't understand, and I've never understood, is I think the Anglo-American Alliance had this vision that they were going to move everything to China, and somehow the relationship with China was going to work for them.

What was their vision?

**Farrell:** This began with Nixon when he went to China. It was a way at the time of breaking up the communist block and getting China out from underneath Soviet influence, which ultimately was successful.

China is an illustration of the fundamental problem of Mr. Globaloney and these technocrats because they have no regard for history or culture. China views itself as the 'Middle Kingdom' and it has for centuries.

**Fitts:** Right, they are the center.

**Farrell:** They are the center. And if you look at certain global projections, they are the center. If you look at a global map with a certain projection, China is the center.

So, they are doing all of this without any understanding of the Chinese culture, which communism or not, is fairly stable underneath whatever form of governments that you have on top of it. I can't for the life of me understand what they thought they were doing. What they've done is created a monster, and now they can't control it.

**Fitts:** That's what it looks like.



**Farrell:** They were trying to get China into the Western system of finance by the same tools that we've been discussing throughout this report, but what they didn't realize was that the Chinese have been playing this game as long as they have – if not longer. There are cases in Chinese history, and I forget which emperor it was, that basically invented a fiat money/debt money model for China. So, they know all about this game, and have a long culture of dealing with it.

In addition to that, we don't call them 'Mandarins' for no reason. You have a stable bureaucracy there that has survived all sorts of upheaval since the imperial age.

**Fitts:** They have the ultimate SES system.

**Farrell:** They do. What were we thinking?

**Fitts:** I still grapple with this. China has been the dominant economy globally for most of human history except for the last couple hundred years. The Roman Empire went broke trading with the Chinese, and the British Empire was going broke until they came back with drugs.

Drugs was what got the British Empire back in the game, and the control of the sea – the navy. So, it was drugs and naval power, and that is why China building the railroads, and also the Silk Road, is so threatening. It kills the maritime flow power of the whole Anglo-American Alliance.



**Farrell:** This was foreseen by Sir Halford Mackinder, the famous British geopolitician at the turn of the last century when he saw the rise of railroads and air technology. His concern was this will be the technology that will bring the ‘world island’ – meaning the Eurasian-African land mass – together. Sea power at that point becomes irrelevant.

**Fitts:** It’s not really irrelevant because if you look at how much trade goes through the South China Sea, it’s incredible.

**Farrell:** But once that rail infrastructure network gets up and running, the South China Sea is no longer a problem. This is really the big problem with American and British intervention in the Middle East and Central Asia. This is what they are trying to prevent at all costs. At that point, those big, expensive missile magnets (aircraft carriers) become irrelevant.

**Fitts:** But China is investing a large amount in naval power and sea power.

**Farrell:** I think there is a very good reason for that, and it’s one we are not really thinking of too much.

**Fitts:** There are two power positions on the map: The satellite and control of the orbital platform, and the other is the sea lanes. I like to say that the satellites are the sea lanes of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

**Farrell:** China’s sea power, I think, is as much about Japan as it is about the United States because Japan is the other odd man out here.



Abe has been rearming Japan very quietly but very deliberately. I said this years ago when he started this program that Japan, as far as I could tell, had done a long-term assessment and realized that its reliance on the United States for its national security – particularly military security – is no longer going to be feasible.

They are looking to cut themselves loose, and if you look at the deals that Putin and Abe have been inking, they are both being forced into this to a certain extent by Chinese power. This is Putin's way of offsetting Chinese influence in Siberia. This is Japan's way of securing an energy and agricultural supply that is not interdictable easily from the sea.

I think that you are looking at a new geopolitic emerging in the Far East.

**Fitts:** What does Japan need? It needs food and oil. Who has food and oil? Russia.

**Farrell:** Exactly. This is going to be a very delicate dance in the future with those three powers.

The other thing that we need to remember is that Japan is a turnkey nuclear power. They can arm themselves to the hilt with nuclear weapons.

**Fitts:** One of the theories is that the tsunami was to shut that down and say, "Don't do that or else."

**Farrell:** Right, but it's not going to happen. They have to do it.



Japan probably won't do it overtly, but they will have – as they've had for a long time – the 'assemble and turn the screws' bombs. I think that Germany is the other major power in that sense.

**Fitts:** Both Germany and Japan have been struggling with an occupation army since World War II. That explains the 'Yen Carry Trade' because they were required to finance the flotilla of hedge funds that engineered the financial coup d'état at zero percent.

**Farrell:** And they're not so willing to do this. I think that Japan has cut its buying of US Treasuries rather dramatically in the past few years.

**Fitts:** They're so busy having to buy their own.

**Farrell:** Well, there is that also.

**Fitts:** The reality is that they are going to repatriate a significant amount of operations and money, and this is going to be delicate because right now China and the US are very dependent on each other. We are like Siamese twins; we are very intertwined.

If you go back and listen to Sir James Goldsmith's interview, you ask: Why did they do this? This was global shock treatment to globalize. Why would they do something this big this fast?

I believe that one of the reasons they did it was because they wanted to create a multi-planetary civilization as fast as possible, and they couldn't do it without China and India.



If you look at the Chinese and the Indian space programs, as well as Korea, and you look at how many engineers they are producing, it's countless. And if you sit down and talk with state universities around the country, all their graduate programs are the Chinese. We are training their space programs here in the US.

**Farrell:** Fewer and fewer American boys and girls are going into engineering and similar occupations. This is the other problem.

**Fitts:** There is a push now for STEM in the schools, but from what I see, the taxpayers are financing the state universities, and the state universities are financing China and India to build out engineering capacity.

If you look at the economics of what it costs China or India to put up the satellite, they are leaving us in the dust.

**Farrell:** I'm glad you mentioned that because a few weeks ago, and in fact I blogged about this, India launched 28 satellites in a week. This was in a week!

Just imagine from a pure business management standpoint, what a monstrous undertaking that would have been to launch 28 satellites, and they did it in a week.

I don't know about you, but I would be jumping for joy if NASA could launch 28 satellites in a week and pull it off as flawlessly as the Indians did, but we can't.



**Fitts:** Despite all the Russian issues going on, we're still making deals with Russia to do things in space in the future.

**Farrell:** That is the hidden story here. There is a hidden space story behind all of this that we will be getting back to, but part of the 'Rape of Russia' scenario is that if we are going to close in on these nations that have now exceeded us in terms of launch capability – Japan, India, China, Russia, Europe – then we have to have a manufacturing base here that can handle it. We have to have an infrastructure that can handle it.

Forget about Elon Musk for the time being. You have to have something in this country that is still in the hands of the country. They have to do this if they are going to catch up, and Elon Musk isn't going to be able to do it on his own.

There is a big rush for space, and we're going to be getting back to that.

**Fitts:** I think the deal with many of these billionaires is: We'll let you become billionaires, and we'll protect your monopoly, and this thing or that thing, as long as you take the capital you make and shift it into space. So, I think that there was a quid pro quo.

**Farrell:** Absolutely. That is the hidden part of this plan.

**Fitts:** We have talked before about the theory that the Cold War and the nuclear arms race was designed so that if the aliens took out one of us, there would be humans with a complete nuclear arsenal who could deal with it. The entire thing was a complete canard.



**Farrell:** That was actually Colonel Corso's thesis, that the creation of those massive thermonuclear stockpiles during the 1960's and 1970's was simply to have overwhelming numbers that even the most exotic weapons wouldn't be able to match.

Since we're on this topic, I suspect that there is a bit of that going on with what Putin announced last December concerning Russia's new ICBM capability. They now have ICBMs that can be launched in any direction and hit any target on Earth and change their trajectory in flight. That is an enormous capability that I'm not aware we have in this country, or anybody in the world has.

Couple that with submarine launch capability, and you have platforms now that look as though they are, not only designed to offset any American first-strike nuts, but you have a platform that seems it might also be designed to deal with any potential off-planet threat.

These things are enormously sophisticated weapons systems. So, there is a hidden story here, and we will be getting back to it.

**Fitts:** Before I close on US Tax Reform, there are a couple of things about US Tax Reform that I think are worth mentioning. One was that when the Administration came in, from a money standpoint, tax reform was the most important thing they had to get done.

They are truly trying to make America look like the global tax haven – the most attractive to global money. But they decided to try to do the Obamacare fix first, and one of the reasons was because the explosive healthcare costs were really hurting corporate profits.



The piggishness of the healthcare industry and the healthcare insurance industry was basically harming the rest of corporate America, and healthcare is exploding as a percentage of GNP.

We both know that if you look at all of the things we would need to address to fix that, they didn't address any of the root causes, and they failed on Obamacare for the obvious reasons – because they weren't going after the root causes, and different sectors of the corporate establishment wanted different things.

So, they failed on Obamacare, and politically it used up sufficient capital. You ended up with a Congress that had very hard feelings and was tired.

In that environment, it is very hard to get tax reform done. The speed at which they got it done was dazzling, and it shows you the extent of the fear.

**Farrell:** It shows you the extent of the fear, and we will be getting back to that in the next story. I think there are some geopolitical aspects to this happening too, that they had to do it.

**Fitts:** Unless you promise a safe haven to all those people around the world, that is why you had to bring down the estate tax.

I supported bringing down the estate tax, and you can make a very compelling case that it's totally unfair. But my attitude was: Do you have any idea how much talent around the world is going to come to America? It's going to be fantastic.



You couldn't explain, and couldn't tell the general population why they wanted to do it. There wasn't any way. But if you look at the speed with which they got tax reform done, it was an enormous political accomplishment, given the damage done by Obamacare. What I have to tell you is that the enthusiasm in the small business community as a result of that is enormous. I don't think that people appreciate how much goodwill there is in the heartland as a result of tax reform.

**Farrell:** There is plenty there. I think that a little of that will begin with the repeal of the healthcare mandate provision. That redeemed the whole Obamacare fiasco as far as I was concerned. But the tax reform hit me personally. Last year I didn't make enough after expenses to owe Federal taxes and I only owed state. The same thing happened this year, which shocked me. I owe state, but not Federal and that shocked me.

I'm all for it, but I think there is a geopolitical story here that we will be getting back to with Story #5. There is an aspect of this where it appears to me that there is some geopolitical reality setting in Mr. Globaloney's circles.

**Fitts:** Tax repatriation is going to make it tough for many places around the world who enjoyed that capital.

**Farrell:** It's happening in Europe.

**Fitts:** This is similar to a body going into trauma. They call the blood back from the toes and the fingers, and bring it to the heart, the lungs, and the brain. This is North America pulling that capital back.



I hate to say it, but much of that capital included the money stolen during the bailouts and everything. To the victor go the spoils, and they are bringing the spoils home.

**Story #5: ‘The Evolution of Currency’.** That includes Cash Control the Petro-Yuan, which just launched in Shanghai, and that includes everything going on with Blockchain & Cryptos.

Blockchain is bigger than only financial applications. In the world of currency, there is a lot happening. I will tell you something: A couple of Venezuela cities have now launched their local currencies. What I’ve said to everybody is, “Get ready”, it’s the ‘Rape of Russia’.

**Farrell:** If the ‘Rape of Russia’ happens, watch numerous local currencies spring up.

**Fitts:** At the end of the Great Depression in America, there were 3,100 counties and 3,100 local currencies.

**Farrell:** I can believe it.

**Fitts:** Where do you want to start?

**Farrell:** I want to start with us saying, “I told you so!” We’ve already talked about the ‘Rape of Russia’ in North America scenario, and the fact that this Kokesh individual is being funded by bitcoin. What intrigues me is something that I blogged about on my website. There has been revealed that the NSA (National Security Agency) has exposed that they have been monitoring bitcoin transactions in real time.



The way they've been doing it; we have been saying that these cryptocurrencies are not secure. They are not an end run around the central banking system; they are anything but.

If you have the NSA involved in monitoring cryptocurrency transactions – the article that I was referencing said 'bitcoin' – but if they are monitoring bitcoin, they are monitoring everybody else's. If they are doing this, they are doing it ostensibly for the purpose of tracking criminal transactions. But the way the article was phrased, suggested that they can use their parallel construction techniques, not only to do that, but perhaps even to crack passwords.

In other words, rather than simply having a passive role in monitoring bitcoin, they could actively influence prices through creating their own transactions, and in addition to this, they could actually set people up with criminal transactions that are fake and they want to take certain people out. As far as I'm concerned, this is a huge, 'we told you so.' So, watch out for this, and document every single transaction that you have.

**Fitts:** We did a Solari Report called 'Bitcoin: The Op' with Harry Blazer and gave all of the details on this. Then I had Lurie and Ferri, one of our attorneys, do a special report on the taxation aspects and regulatory aspects of bitcoin and cryptocurrencies. I would really encourage people to go back and read that.

Here is what I have told people who have asked me directly: Create an independent file on your transactions. Get all of those records to your CPA and your attorney. Pay your taxes, and comply with all regulations.



Have a squeaky clean and perfect and complete record, so if they come and say, “You did \$1 million of transactions,” that you never did, you can prove that you did not, and you have witnesses because you have your CPA and your attorney.

I agree with you. This is the perfect way to frame people. I know someone who has beaten multiple attempts at framing me, and this is the perfect vehicle to frame someone.

**Farrell:** Under the law, if they can frame you this way, what happens? They can freeze your assets and it’s harvesting time.

In other words, the way that I’m viewing the bitcoin revelations now, is that this is all part of the ‘Rape of Russia’ in North America operation. It’s another component of it.

**Fitts:** I think they are using it to finance Black Lives Matter, and are using it to finance youths running around in Parkland. You name it – left to right – they are using it. It’s the Nazi guys. Many are actors, so they are doing reality TV shows, but they are using it to finance a whole world of things, and it’s totally anonymous. The people receiving the money think it’s people helping them.

**Farrell:** I blogged about that, too, a few weeks ago. Certain groups – very unsavory groups and fascist groups – are using these cryptocurrencies to fund their activities. Well, if they are doing it, then everybody else is doing it. Soros is doing it, Antifa is doing it, and Black Lives Matter is doing it. You name it, and they are doing it.



**Fitts:** One thing that scared me at the conference in Aspen was when a lovely young woman on one of the panels who was talking about the protestors who were smashing the windows. I sat there and realized that even she didn't realize they were professionals. They weren't activists; they were professionals.

**Farrell:** Right: Agents Provocateur.

**Fitts:** Here is what I can't deal with: When I see a Parkland school shooting, I just assume it's a false flag and you have professional mercenaries who are the multiple shooters. It's all staged one way or another. So, I don't think of that as a comment on the human race.

**Farrell:** I don't either.

**Fitts:** If I see a child mind controlled and set up as a patsy or a mind-controlled assassin, I see that as a covert operation; I don't see that as the human race.

**Farrell:** Where did that juvenile in Parkland get the money to buy those weapons?

**Fitts:** They said that he had inherited them from his parents, but I don't think of this as the human race.

I was appalled, and thought, "She really thinks youngsters are doing that?"

What does it do to a person who falls into the trap of believing that these are truly humans doing this?



**Farrell:** My biggest problem here is that for those people who are still involved in cryptocurrencies, understand what you are being involved with.

The opportunity for fraud and the kinds of people who would be using this makes me think, if I had the money to invest in anything like that, I wouldn't invest it in those things.

**Fitts:** I told you that I would never invest in it. Someone donated some bitcoin to me, and I donated it to the Life Jacket Preservation Society in England online because I didn't want to have an account in my name. I shut down the account, and it's twofold. One thing is that if you look at the energy that is coming through it right now, it's very bad energy. If you look at the opportunities to frame someone, it's not worth my time to deal with it.

A day could come where it is worth my time to deal with it, but I believe before 2021, it's just too dangerous. This is all part of your personal financial and personal strategic planning for dealing with the 'Rape of Russia', but you need to make sure that if you've made money on cryptocurrencies that you have impeccable documentation. You have a CPA or an attorney or both who have copies. You need to make sure that you are 100% compliant and can prove that you are 100% compliant.

So, let's talk about Cash Control. Cash Control is just like gun control.



**Farrell:** Let's talk about some other things now – Cash Control and the Petro-Yuan – because there is plenty of geopolitics going on here.

There is a story here, 'Bundesbank to include renminbi in its currency reserves'. I think that this is a significant thing happening in Europe. We are going to be talking more about it, but there have also been stories that, with the tax reform bill, Europe is running out of dollars because the dollars are coming back.

At the very time that you have all of these crises occurring in Europe with immigration and Merkel's government being very weak and the Italian election results, and you still have the ongoing Brexit business. I think that the tax bill – to a certain extent – was a recognition of reality. Europe's markets, whether we like it or not, are principally going to the East. They are going to go with China and Russia, and they are getting their energy from Russia. We already saw the Nord Stream Pipeline deal go through in Germany. The permits have been approved by the German government. So, I think that a certain amount of this repatriation is a recognition of reality that the United States is not going to be able to dictate to Europe to the extent that it has in the past.

**Fitts:** If you look at the Trans-Pacific Partnership and the Trans-Atlantic Agreement, both of those were an effort to tie the two sides of the Silk Road back into America. Trump has cancelled the TPP, and the Trans-Atlantic is stalled.



**Farrell:** The only thing I can see that the United States has some influence left in Europe in financial terms, is the buyer Monsanto (or Monstersanto, as I like to call them) merger. Europe has waffled back and forth on the whole GMO issue, but with that merger we've still some influence and inroads into European policy as far as agriculture is concerned.

I think that is going to be bucked on a nation by nation business because a much of the critique of GMOs has come from France, Italy, and Hungary – all of those countries that have also been bucking the immigration policy that Merkel has been handing down. So, Europe is a mess. Because it's a mess, I think that you have a certain segment of Mr. Globaloney looking at Europe and saying, "Wow! Let's get out of here."

Europe right now is a first-world infrastructure status. Their infrastructure is impeccable, but I don't think that it is going to be able to be maintained in that status over the long-term because of these splits and problems in the EU, unless a miracle happens so that they can pull themselves out of the nosedive that they are in politically.

**Fitts:** Here is what you see in Europe. In the United States you see this enormous, fraudulent drain. You see fraudulent securities and money missing and this huge harvesting. You don't see that in Europe. Europe looks prosperous; Europe looks healthy; Europe is working.

They're not adjusting in many ways to the new technology as fast as we are, but Europe seems much more prosperous and stable when you are there.



**Farrell:** Right, but I think that is a veneer. I think that over the long term, Deutsche Bank is in trouble, and it's tied very heavily into the Italian banking system. Italy is in trouble. Merkel has now appointed a Goldman Sachs deputy finance minister. What that is telling me is that Germany is going to keep pressing the austerity issue on Southern Europe, and Southern Europe isn't going to go along with it.

Europe is facing some big political problems in the next five years, and that is going to have an effect on finances.

The other big thing that I think we need to mention here is that story about the Chinese company buying a 10% block of ownership in Deutsche Bank. What that tells me is they realize there is a big crunch coming, and they want to be at the table to influence however the Deutsche Bank problem is going to be handled.

**Fitts:** Remember that they have the liquidity to help handle it. I think that the derivative position is a German government position, not a Deutsche Bank position. I think JP Morgan Chase and Deutsche Bank are banking utilities for their respective governments. They also run the inner-banking settlement systems.

**Farrell:** The Chinese have bought a stake at the table in Europe, and as Germany goes, the rest of Europe goes – like it or not. The Chinese have bought a stake of influence there, so look for an increasingly independent Europe as far as America goes because of all these financial moves.

**Fitts:** We've watched the regulators start to move on cryptocurrencies, and on January 1, the bell rings and they start to move. G20 didn't move. G20 essentially backed off, which gave a new lease on life to the bitcoin prices.



Bitcoin jumped \$1,000 after G20 said, “We’re not cracking down on crypto’s.”

We have seen many noises from various central banks, that they are working on their own individual cryptos – including with gold backing.

What I’ve said is that the Chinese and the Russian central banks have done a deal, and now they have put offices in each other’s capital. They are really starting to build on this.

If they come out with a Chinese or a Russian central bank gold-backed sovereign cryptocurrency, you’re off to the races. The question, of course, is: Where are you storing the gold? If they spread it around and put big gold in Switzerland, as well as in Moscow and Beijing, that’s going to be credible.

**Farrell:** And it’s going to be a huge magnet geopolitically. That is going to pull Europe out of the American orbit.

As far as I’m concerned, all of this capital repatriation is, in a certain sense, the Anglo-American Mr. Globaloney reading the handwriting on the wall. This is part of their retrenchment operation in North America.

**Fitts:** Right, but it’s the Anglo-American Alliance leading the effort to start a war in Iran and start a war in Russia. So, before we go to Trade Wars, is there anything else?



We talked about the Petro-Yuan coming out. One of the things that was very frustrating was when the Chinese started trading Petro-Yuan futures on the Shanghai market, and do you remember when we did that workshop in 2014? I'll tell the story.

That was at the end of the summer of 2014, but that summer I had a war on Greg Hunter's show. Greg said that the dollar was going to collapse, and I said, "No it's not; it's going up. The dollar right now is really strong, and it's going to get much stronger, and it's going to fly. Its' really going to go up enormously. We are in a strong dollar scenario."

He said to me, "Every person who has come on my show this year says that it's going to collapse. You are the only one who says that it's not going to collapse. You can't be right."

I said, "I'm right, and I will bet you a dollar." We ended up betting a dollar, and I have that dollar framed in my office.

Foster and Kimberly Gamble were doing *Thrive* and I went to their house at the beginning of July, after I had this fight with Greg Hunter. They pulled me out and said, "We want you to know that the dollar is going to collapses."

I said, "No it's not. It's going to go up."

They said, "No, it's going to collapse," and they went into this whole Asian elder thing. I was thinking, "Where is this coming from?"



The complete discussion of why it was going to collapse was completely incoherent, and it reflected no understanding of military positioning or the flows or anything. It was like a trance. Foster has always been very smart. I said to them, “Look, if you guys are going to come out and tell people this, I’m going to have to refute it. I’m ‘mama bear’, and my job is to protect my subscribers. If you are going to market this hogwash to my subscribers, I’m going to tell them that you’re nuts because you’re nuts.”

I returned, and then we ended up at this workshop, and people started promoting this idea that the dollar was about to collapse. We just responded and unloaded. Do you remember that?

**Farrell:** Yes, I remember.

**Fitts:** I’ll never forget this. You said, “We have ten aircraft carriers, and the Chinese have one, and they haven’t learned how to sail it yet.” It was hysterical. But there was one person who was insistent that the dollar was going to collapse, and we said, “Okay. You want to play?”

It was like Ricky Ricardo, “Let me ‘splain you something, Lucy.” So, we vented, and it was brilliant. I wish I had recorded it.

**Farrell:** I think we threw satellites in there.

**Fitts:** We went through the satellites and through the Navy sea power and through the magical, mysterious weapons – whatever they are.

I’ll tell you something. I’ve seen so many people destroyed financially by fear porn. They use fear porn if they can’t get them roped into —



the establishment so that they get roped into the fear porn cycle, and they harvest them financially and different ways. It's just another marketing to harvest their money, and it infuriates me when people go along with it.

This comes back to the fact that ideas matter. When you put a fake map in somebody's head, it changes their actions. Then their actions make them susceptible to being harvested. And I'm 'mama bear'. My job is to make sure that you don't get harvested. My attitude is: I want you to have a free and inspired life. So, you decide where you want to go, what you want to do, and I don't care. But my job is to make sure that your map is accurate so that you use your time and money according to whatever it is that you want to do.

I spend my life with individuals trying to give people fake maps so that they can harvest them, and not only financially; it's culturally and spiritually because this is spiritual warfare. When I see individuals who I think are smart, good-hearted people try hard to sell them fake maps.

As you can tell that I'm apoplectic about the 'Rape of Russia', I get very upset. These maps are real. I've seen a fake map relieve people of tens of millions of dollars. Where I come from, if you market information to somebody that causes them to intentionally lose money, that is a criminal felony.

On Wall Street, they pay a fortune for intelligence, and they understand the value of good intelligence. The general population does not. So why am I ranting on this? We're still talking about Petro-Yuan, and all the people who had told Greg Hunter that the dollar was going to collapse were marketing out. Then you had the people saying, "The Petro-Yuan contract is going to come out, and it is going to collapse the entire dollar."



It was as if, “Here we go again.” Then the Petro-Yuan came out, and, yes, it was a big deal; it was a very big deal. If you look at the Annual Wrap Up, we have a section on all the things that China and Russia are doing to migrate away from the dollar system, and they are all big deals, and this is one of them. There are hundreds of them. We listed a large number, and we talked about it in the Annual Wrap Up. Every one is a nail in the coffin, but there are thousands of nails in the coffin, and it’s a long-term play. The dollar is going to be dominant until the day it’s not, and we don’t know how many nails in the coffin it is going to take.

**Farrell:** Let’s look at it differently. In a multipolar world, it’s probably going to be the case that there is going to be a transitional period where you are going to have the dollar, the renminbi, and so on, be reserve currencies. In other words, there will be more than one, and eventually that will boil down to one. But I think that we are in a transition period.

The German Bundesbank is taking renminbi as a reserve currency now doesn’t mean that they’ve abandoned the dollar. I think that we have to get used to the idea that we are in a transitional period.

**Fitts:** Right, and here is where the fear porn people are right: Santa Rosa doesn’t happen unless you have a leadership that is scared and wants to go fast and can’t say why. We don’t want to be fear porn persons, but whether it’s the ‘Rape of Russia’ or what happened in Santa Rosa, there is a reason for it.

**Farrell:** There is a reason they are scared, and there is a reason they are moving fast.



**Fitts:** Right, and we need to take heart and deal with it, but we don't need to get harvested by fake maps.

**Story #6: 'Trade Wars'.** Somebody said, "Why are you surprised that Donald Trump is doing what he said he would do during the campaign?"

So, tell us about Trade Wars.

**Farrell:** From my standpoint, they have to bring industry back here. If the 'Rape of Russia' scenario that we've been modeling is true – and I said this ten years ago on GeorgeAnn Hughes' show, and she was buying the fear porn that the dollar was going to collapse – I said that it appears what they were doing was setting up the initial moves to bring manufacturing back to this country. As a part of that, they were going to have to rethink all these trade deals. In order to make these industries come back here, they had to do several things – tax reform, deregulate many things, and put in tariffs to protect industries coming back here. So, you are looking at something that I think has been part of a long-term plan.

They've seen this coming from afar, and now they're implementing it. The problem now is that it's happening faster than their projected schedule back then. It's happening faster than they were reckoning, and that is why you see this happening.

I'm not surprised that Trump is doing this. We've seen steel plants reopening, which boggles my mind. But you have to have that kind of heavy manufacturing capability because if you don't, it's like General Mattis said, "If you don't have that manufacturing capability, —



and if you are only offering people drones and bombs and tanks, you're not offering them anything. People are turning against you because of that".

We've seen our alliance system collapsing. We are losing allies right and left, so they have to do this.

**Fitts:** Trump tweeted out, "No steel, no country." He's right.

If you look at how much steel we need for national security and the capacity we have, we are fine, but what he understands is that if you observe the trajectory, we're not staying at the top and we're not staying current.

**Farrell:** I pointed out something years ago, and people didn't believe me until they went in and dug into it for themselves. The gun – the cannon – on our M1A1 Abrams tank is built in this country under license; it's a German gun. So, do you want to have a military that has been farmed out over the world, or do you want to produce it here? That is a national security issue.

Like it or not, whatever you are going to say about tariffs – if you are for them or against them – you are looking at it in the wrong way. It's a national security problem that they're dealing with.

**Fitts:** Here is something else: Globally we've centralized the economy, we've done much of that centralization using government regulation, and powers, and contracts, and purchases, and everything else, and we've turned it all into a national security state.



You don't have markets; you have a managed economy, and you have significant action and investment and covert operations, to make that economy go in the way it goes against market economics.

**Farrell:** As you put it: "You don't have markets; you have interventions".

**Fitts:** Our economy is run by interventions and covert and government control in a highly centralized basis. At that point, you have to have trade wars because things are managed.

We saw the Chamber of Commerce and, essentially, all the business associations come out aggressively against trade wars and tariffs with Trump, and what is interesting about that is what that said to me, which was that the national security state is now impinging on the freedom of corporations to make money and do what they want. That's new.

**Farrell:** That is very new. But if you are Mr. Globaloney and watching your American unipolar world collapse, I think the plan was to create a unipolar American world and then transition that into a global state. That has collapsed.

Now they realize that with that collapse, they have no base of power. They have to reshore into this country. I said that ten years ago.

**Fitts:** But you have many corporations who built their infrastructure and their game on the plan, and now they are getting squeezed.



**Farrell:** That is what I think is occurring. I think you are absolutely right here; this is something new. The national security state and Mr. Globaloney have to retrench. That means that Mr. Globaloney is turning against some of the very corporations that helped them get to the position they are in.

**Fitts:** They are whipsawing them. Part of tax reform was to ease the pain and get them to go along with the plan. That's one of the reasons it flew through in a situation where you shouldn't be able to get it through. Notice that it happened at the exact moment Mnuchin talked Trump into putting Powell into the central bank. Talk about currency wars! I believe Powell was someone that both the ziocons and the Pilgrims could live with.

There are supposed to be seven governors of the Federal Reserve, and we only have three with Powell in there. Yellen resigned as of the chairmanship date; she didn't go through her term. They are now talking about appointing one of those three to another position, which means that we would have two. So, Powell wouldn't be the Chairman of the Governors; he would be in total control.

**Farrell:** They have to have that to make this whole scenario go.

**Fitts:** How do you find governors who are going to play along with the 'Rape of Russia'?

**Farrell:** That is the other problem.



**Fitts:** Whatever you are going to do in 2021, if the Fed is still going to exist at that point and you are going to use the Fed, which I'm assuming you are, then you have to engineer the systems within the Fed to handle whatever you are going to do.

**Farrell:** If that is the case, then I would look at San Francisco, Chicago, and Dallas.

**Fitts:** And New York is the big one.

**Farrell:** Obviously, New York, but those three cities in particular.

**Fitts:** They moved the San Francisco Fed Chairman to be head of the New York Fed, and remember that Yellen was from San Francisco.

One of the most interesting things in the 4<sup>th</sup> Quarter, and we talked about it in the Annual Wrap Up, was immediately after Skidmore published his report on the \$21 trillion and we launched the documentation, Lockheed had spun its systems off. So, Lockheed's liability was technically off their balance sheet, although I don't think that the statute of limitations was up. The New York Fed is the depository for the US government, and they can't spin the liability off their balance sheet.

Shortly after we launched the story, there was a fire at the Fed on a Saturday, and the excuse was that the roof had caught on fire because somebody was using a fireplace in the bottom of the building the first week of October. Why would the New York Fed be burning things in a fireplace on a Saturday in October?



These were things that they wouldn't use their shredding service for?  
What is so secretive that you can't use your shredding service?

**Farrell:** If we are right about this 'Rape of Russia' scenario, then we have to watch who is going to fill these posts in the Federal Reserve system, particularly around the country.

**Fitts:** They will control liquidity completely. If you shut down the US government, the Fed will have 100% control of liquidity.

**Farrell:** I would be suspicious if we saw many Goldman Sachs people going into those governorships. I would be very suspicious. That would indicate that this scenario that we've been talking about is even more solid.

**Fitts:** Let's do a little conjecture here. Let's step back and go to the 1990's. Do you remember when I said that you had the same firms and law firms doing the 'Rape of Russia' in Eastern Europe, in the United States, and in Russia? It was the whole financial coup d'état.

Robert Rubin was Secretary of the Treasury and Goldman Sachs' Lloyd Blankfein and Gary Cohn ran the fixed income division. That fixed income division engineered the suppression of the gold market and the housing bubble. They were the brains. Now the brains were in many places, but between Rubin and the Harvard Endowment – and Rubin had been the chair of the Harvard Endowment before he came in on the Harvard Corporation Board – the brains were Harvard and Goldman Sachs. Those were the big brains on the entire global financial coup d'état and the full engineering of the bubble here to make it go.



Blankfein and Cohn were traders. Traditionally you didn't make traders the Chairman of Goldman Sachs. They engineered the housing bubble and the suppression of the gold price, and Blankfein and Cohn rise to be Chairman and President of Goldman Sachs. To the victor go the spoils.

I've written a great deal about this. You can go back and look at my old pieces on Goldman Sachs. What did I basically say? I explained the payback for their engineering the financial coup, and that they were intimately involved in the 'Rape of Russia'.

One of the things that happened when I did the research on why Goldman Sachs kept winning the loan sales at HUD was because they were bidding above market. Where were they getting the money to bid above market? My theory is that they were laundering the money back from the intelligence agencies and Treasury. It was 'CIA Treasury'. They were laundering the money back from Russia, and they want to make sure that those files get controlled because they don't want anybody to get the files and see what is actually going on in those portfolios.

We talked about this previously. When Trump put together his cabinet and brought Cohn in, I said, "If you're going to reverse the financial coup d'état, you get the guys who engineered it in the first place, and it's like a roundtrip. They did the one way, and now they're doing a roundtrip."

So Blankfein is back at Goldman Sachs, Cohn is in the White House, and they're going to do the roundtrip. And sure enough, what was Cohn's number one goal going into the Administration: To get tax reform so that they could repatriate the money.



Cohn, once tax reform is passed, announced that he is leaving. Within a week, the *Wall Street Journal* announced that Lloyd Blankfein is stepping down from the Chairman post at Goldman Sachs, at which point Blankfein, when informed of this, says that he didn't know about it.

That is exactly how Herb Winokur got fired at Harvard. Rubin goes back, and suddenly it's leaked in the paper that Winokur is resigning from the Corporation Board. I said, "He learned about that in the *Wall Street Journal*; he didn't know."

So Blankfein was fired as reported in the *Wall Street Journal* the minute that Cohn was out, and they took the team that engineered the financial coup d'état, and once they had tax reform, fired them. Who did they put in? They didn't put in a trader.

Remember when we talked about purges in the Annual Wrap Up and we said that they were going to purge all the people who did the financial coup d'état and bring in a new team? It's not cleaning the swamp; it's just going to the next phase, and that is exactly what we've seen.

**Farrell:** The model is fitting most of the data points.

**Fitts:** Which is why we have to keep asking what we are missing.

This brings us to **Story #7: 'Ziocon Flu, Swamp Wars & Purges'**.

Trade Wars took us into Purges. I don't know what to say. The purges are absolutely happening.



There is one story that you've talked about that I wanted to bring up first, and that is Gadhafi's missing money now that the former President of France is arrested. This is payback for arresting Strauss-Kahn. Remember Strauss-Kahn got arrested to protect Sarkozy. So, when I saw that Sarkozy got arrested, I said, "If you are going to use criminal mechanisms for political wars, what's good for the goose is good for the gander."

**Farrell:** There is so much going on with this. I'm not certain yet how this fits in, but if you look back to Gadhafi, what he was trying to do was set up a gold-backed Libyan dinar – the Libyan currency – that he could then use for infrastructure projects, not only in Libya, but in Northern Africa. He was making a big power play to become the North African Grand Imam or whatever, and they took him out.

What interests me here is that Sarkozy was striking deals with Gadhafi and with Gadhafi's intelligence minister, who was in charge of the Libya investment agency.

**Fitts:** The one that Goldman Sachs raped?

**Farrell:** Yes! So, Sarkozy knows something about all of this, and at the same time that this happened, about two weeks earlier there was a story that came out that the frozen funds – about 10-11 billion euros – in Belgian banks as part of frozen Libyan assets, had completely gone missing.

**Fitts:** Is this a promise hit?

**Farrell:** That's what I think, and that Sarkozy might know something about it.



**Fitts:** The Belgians are liable for that.

**Farrell:** I know they are, and if you look into the Sarkozy story carefully enough, there is a Belgian prosecutor that is involved in all of this. So, this is big.

**Fitts:** Eleven billion euros is a big bank robbery.

**Farrell:** It's a big bank roll, yes, and dare I say it? Sarkozy was really chummy with a certain lady who ran for President lately. So, there may be much more to this story.

**Fitts:** Between Weinstein and Eric Schmidt and Sarkozy, you're seeing many of the Clinton allies dropping like flies.

**Farrell:** They're cleaning house, which they have to do. This 'Rape of Russia' in North America scenario makes sense because she was so involved with most of this – the Uranium One deal and so on. If you're going to rape Russia, you don't want Clinton's dirty laundry in the mix because that could ruin the whole thing.

**Fitts:** They were running a 'pay to play' network, and if you look at countries around the world, from Norway to Saudi Arabia, they put hundreds of millions of dollars in the pot, and some of them were taxpayer dollars. Now you have people around the world who have to explain why they wasted that money.

**Farrell:** I think that this is deeply connected to this Russia scenario. They have to clean house now.



**Fitts:** Let me talk about the Department of Justice and malicious prosecution. One of the things that we've seen from the centralization is that the Department of Justice was critical to engineering the financial coup, helping the money to go missing.

Every time somebody tried to stop the money from going missing, the Department of Justice was on them. So, you really have the Department of Justice as the Pitbull protecting the financial coup d'état and protecting this explosion in the national security state and running the cover-up on 9/11 and all of these things.

I always said that the Patriot Act was the control and concentration of the cash flow act. So, the Department of Justice is basically in the business of malicious prosecution against anybody who was in the way of the plan. Now we discover with the Christopher Steele dossier, the Department of Justice and the FBI were intimately involved in creating a case against the Trump campaign so that they could get the FISA court run by, who other than my old nemesis, Rudy Contreras, to allow them to do surveillance on the Trump campaign so that they could beat them. So, they're using the FISA court and the Department of Justice and the FBI.

**Farrell:** Think about it, it takes tremendous talent to lose a rigged election.

**Fitts:** That's what you wonder: Humpty Dumpty here with all the king's horses and all the king's men...

**Farrell:** They couldn't put Hillary back together again. I'm sorry, I couldn't resist.



**Fitts:** I always say that when you can get the military to operationalize at this level, they can beat these guys. They're better because they're quiet and sneaky.

So, we see them do this complete thing. They can do surveillance in the Trump campaign, and I think they put Page in as an operative just to give them an excuse so that they could get in, so they do this whole thing. Then when Trump wins, they try to engineer it to get him impeached or thrown out or destroyed, and that's not working.

What we didn't know until recently – until after he was fired – is that McCabe ordered a criminal investigation of his new boss, the Attorney General. This is like the Las Vegas operation; it's defined by Mickey Mouse. This is not the A-team. This is a group of people who are used to being free to be sloppy. They feel that they are free to be sloppy. This is not the A-team, and you're looking at them as if they are the Keystone Cops.

McCabe gets fired, and his attorney is a fellow named Michael Bromwich, who was the Inspector General in the 1990's at the Department of Justice. He was part of the effort to unseal the CIA Department of Justice Memorandum of Understanding that allowed the CIA to deal drugs without the Department of Justice interfering.

This man comes out and tweets out, "I've never seen anything more unprofessional than the treatment of McCabe."

I took one look at this, and I became angry. Occasionally, I'll get mad and tweet out a storm. So, the first thing that I said was, "Oh really?"



What about the fact that the Department of Justice falsified evidence and tried to frame me on an obstruction of justice charge? [Link here to read the affidavit and all about it.](#)”

So, I started tweeting out one after another malicious prosecution: Howard Root, Bill Binney and his cohort, Ross Ulbricht. Then my tweet followers said, “What about Bundy?” and it was one malicious prosecution after another. It was this one, then this one, then this one, and then this one, and it’s all the Sheriff of Nottingham.

What about asset forfeiture? What about the fact that the Department of Justice and HUD and Operation Safe Home dropped SWAT teams into black neighborhoods around DC and rounded up innocent kids and stuffed them in prison and pulled the money on the public defender’s office so they had to come up with bail money? They are doing what Hitler did. They are rounding kids up and stuffing them in slave labor camps where they have them make uniforms for the military. Tell me what’s different from what the Nazis did? And it’s Eric Holder, the black Attorney General, who is doing all of this. Before this, he was head of the DC office.

So, what happened with what has come out on the dossier and McCabe and the FBI and the Department of Justice? The Department of Justice is the Sheriff of Nottingham, and what you’re watching is the documentation and presentation to the general population that this is so.

This is a very big deal because, before you can destroy somebody, you have to destroy their name. They are destroying the name and the reputation of the FBI and the Department of Justice, and it’s working.



**Farrell:** It's a handy thing to have around if you're going to run a 'Rape of Russia' scenario.

**Fitts:** But the Department of Justice and the FBI are helping.

**Farrell:** Absolutely. The purge is that they are getting rid of the B-team.

**Fitts:** You can't run the 'Rape of Russia' with the B-team.

**Farrell:** No, you can't run the 'Rape of Russia' with a McCabe or a Miller or any of these people. They have to go. You need an A-team. If we are trying to cast a wide enough net to bring in as many data points in a workable model as we can, that's the way it will happen, I believe.

**Fitts:** The A-team will run the auctions.

**Farrell:** Of course, absolutely, they have to.

**Fitts:** It will be the SES A-team at the Department of Justice, and if you look at the SES effort, that's their goal. Their goal is to get rid of that.

The Department of Justice SES group and the FBI SES is the deep state, and we need to purge them because they want to bring in the auction team.

**Farrell:** Right, and they have the machinery for the malicious prosecutions – to haul people off to jail who don't want their assets stripped. So, you have to bring in the A-team.



**Fitts:** I've been trying to figure out what they're up to at the Department of Justice, and I haven't been able to.

**Farrell:** This is making some sense.

**Fitts:** This is the first thing that I've heard that makes any sense of what is happening.

**Farrell:** It wouldn't have occurred to me other than considering everything from this model. If you're going to do that, you have to get rid of that SES team, you have to make the FBI look totally incompetent – which they've succeeded wildly. Look at the Parkland shooting alone, not to mention the other incidents, like Las Vegas and Boston.

**Fitts:** This goes back to the Q-op. They are selling all this as 'cleaning up the swamp'. All they're doing is getting rid of the B-team alligators, and in doing so, walking in the A-team alligators.

**Farrell:** They're getting rid of the floaters.

**Fitts:** It's what I've said all along. The team you need to do a financial coup is different from the team you need to do the next phase. They're going to bring the A-team back, but it's not the A-team to enforce the Constitution; it's the A-team to steal the rest.

**Farrell:** That's the way it seems to me. And while we're at it, we might as well talk about the Scripal case in Britain.

**Fitts:** It's another dossier connection.



**Farrell:** The Russians have absolutely no motivation to ‘off’ this man. They had him in their possession. If they wanted to kill him, they could have.

**Fitts:** The only people who have motivation to get rid of him are the Clintons.

**Farrell:** The Clintons plus their network, which is getting tossed under the bus as far as I can tell. It’s not for any reasons of justice, but rather you’re bringing in the A-team, and you can’t have the dirty laundry hanging around to gum up the works. So, make it look like you’re draining the swamp.

The Scipal thing, too, I think is being played as another big distraction.

**Fitts:** They’re really trying to turn it into one. They threw out all these different diplomats – not out of Washington, but out of Seattle – because they don’t want the Russians getting the technology. So, they’re throwing them out of San Francisco and Seattle because they want to shut off their access to technology.

**Farrell:** Those have been big centers for Russian intelligence gathering, but, what I’m getting at, is all the Russian hysteria over this is more misdirection. “Get everybody afraid of a world war.” Well, we’re not going to start a war with Russia. Theresa May is not going to start a war with Russia. I hope we’re not going to start a war with Russia.

**Fitts:** But they may start a war with Iran. Let’s face it, if you’re going to keep the unipolar model going, it’s seven countries in five years.



He didn't mention Korea, but Korea was one of them because Korea is not in the BIS system yet. If you merge it with South Korea, then it's in the system.

So, you're trying to shut off the leakage from the BIS system. The multi-planetary civilization still needs central control. Russia is leakage and China is starting to become leakage and the Middle East is leakage. Iran is the next point.

We recently saw two Israeli planes fly within their air space. Is Trump building up?

Let's talk about Tillerson. Tillerson is fired by tweets, and Tillerson, from the day he walked in, has had all the state department Hillary Clinton-istas gunning for him. Trump wanted to slash the state department budget, which Tillerson did, so everybody at the state department was gunning for him. Trump and Tillerson both have no experience in government, so they're trying to handle the two of the three most powerful positions without any experience. What happened?

**Farrell:** I don't know.

**Fitts:** Tillerson would not have been on board for the 'Rape of Russia'.

**Farrell:** No, he would not have been on board for the 'Rape of Russia' but, again, that dot connects. As far as I can tell, he was not gung-ho about a war with Iran either.



**Fitts:** He and Mattis talked Trump into staying in the Iran deal, and I think that the ziocons have been gunning for him ever since he hit town.

**Farrell:** I'm very suspicious about that trip he made to Bariloche, Argentina. It was not to give a speech about Argentina national parks. That was the public thing.

I have a suspicion that had something to do with his firing or it had something to do with the Iran deal. I don't know, but his presence there was not about Argentinian national parks.

**Fitts:** No, and he went right after the State of the Union address, and after the big Trump announcement about major investment in the nuclear arsenal.

**Farrell:** The only thing that I can think of is that he went there and said, "This is the way things are," or he was summoned there and was told, "This is the way things are." It was one of the two, and I don't know which. I do think that that had something to do with it.

He was there at the same time as Jeff Bezos, in the same region. We were given the cover story that Bezos was there looking at glaciers. What?

**Fitts:** They both had their families with them, so it seemed that during the State of the Union – and I don't know if you watched it – the joint chiefs looked like deer in a headlight. They looked scared. I think that after the State of the Union everybody said, "Okay, we're going to buy our bold hold in the Southern Hemisphere," and they went off with their families to buy a place in the Southern Hemisphere.



**Farrell:** There is something happening there, but I don't know what it is.

**Fitts:** I want to talk about a part of the purge. One of my frustrations from 1998 until now is that the financial coup is rolling along, and the financial coup was particularly hideous to African Americans. There was a real genocide plan.

I've documented in *Dillon Read & the Aristocracy of Stock Profits* what was done. The interesting thing is that many of the operations of rounding up and throwing black youths into prison was done by the Clintons and their black attorneys. They hired many black attorneys who lead that effort. So, basically, you have black attorneys who graduated from Harvard leading a genocide effort against black people. It was ugly, and they were submitting all sorts of Affirmative Action contracts to blacks.

It was one of the reasons before Clinton started her campaign, she had the Department of Justice say that they were going to cancel private prisons because that was her weakness. What they did on that was genocide.

I had one of the deputy assistant secretaries at HUD say to me in 1994, "We've given up on black people. We're moving them out, and we're moving Hispanics in."

Well, when I was in Mexico (I recently had a wonderful trip to Mexico) one journalist came up to me at the equivalent of the National Press Club. It was a journalist award ceremony, and there was a wonderful group of people.



He came up and said, “I do a lot in Chicago. 31% of the Chicago population is now Hispanics, and 90% are illegal.” That was Robert Emanuel from the Democratic machine.

They were moving the blacks out and bringing the Hispanics in. My entire effort with neighborhood networks and trying to get access to education and work force education was in defiance of that plan. Anyway, that is the Dillon Read article that tells the story.

One of my frustrations during that period was that one reason they were able to get away with it was because Oprah Winfrey was on national television, affirming the establishment on all this in Chicago where the genocide was the worst. So, Oprah was insinuating, “Don’t worry; be happy. Go shopping. Everything is fine. You can trust the system because Eric Holder and I are rising, and we have all these attractive blacks who went to Harvard, and the world is becoming fairer and more inclusive and more open. We have a black President.”

**Farrell:** Forget about the poor black people.

**Fitts:** Right, the ones who are disappearing, and their life expectancy is coming down, their average net worth is coming down, and they’re being targeted with drugs.

It’s remarkable because when I tried to stop that in the 1990’s, my pitch to my wealthy friends in New York and Washington was, “What can be done to one can be done to all. Right now, it’s the black population,” and my complete effort with building this neighborhood community equity model was, “Look, we can end welfare, and we can end all this subsidy and help these people be successful in a way that makes the pension funds money,”



and I proved that it could be done. So, we started companies to do it and it's all in the Dillon Read story.

I can't tell you how many people really believed that this was going to stop with black people and it wasn't going to happen upstairs. At the time, I believed that the genocide plan was only harming black people. It took me years of studying what they were doing with the chemtrails and the EMF and everything else to realize, "It's not only black people; it's everybody." This is everybody. They're sneaking up on everybody in different ways.

They're getting the white people to feel safe by convincing them that it's only the black people, and they're turning the black people and the white people against each other and it's unbelievable. You have to give them credit: They're very good at marketing.

I didn't know, but all that time I was furious because I was risking my life to protect black people, and Oprah Winfrey is becoming a billionaire by telling everybody to go shopping.

Oprah Winfrey at the Golden Globe awards does this horrible thing. She gives a speech that is anti-Trump and anti-Republicans. A week later, her home is almost destroyed by mudslides. And she puts a video up of herself walking around looking at her property. She's written about how safe it is and how much she loves it and how much it means to her.

Her face looked like all those black women whose homes were destroyed by predatory lending and foreclosures. Her face is in a state of complete shock. I thought, —



“Well, you know, while this was being done to millions and millions of Americans, you helped the ziocons and covered it all up on the theory that you could become a billionaire and it couldn’t be done to you.”

**Farrell:** Do you think she realized that?

**Fitts:** I don’t know.

**Farrell:** I don’t think that she did. She may wake up and realize it now.

**Fitts:** It can take a long time for those things to resonate.

**Farrell:** The reason I ask that is because these people are so diabolically sneaky – and I’m using the word ‘diabolically’ in the full sense of the word. It’s easy to get sucked into their games and not realize that you’re just a pawn in their plans.

**Fitts:** Let me play the bad guy for a second: I’m the US military and I’m keeping the red button from being pushed. Everybody is harvesting it, and Oprah is becoming a billionaire and walking around and announcing at Hollywood awards why I’m worthless when I’m the only reason she is a billionaire. At some point, you get angry and say, “Fine. Who needs you?”

The financial coup is over, and they have the weapons. So, they don’t need Oprah anymore. They needed her while they were sneaking up on everybody, but now that they have lockdown control, they don’t need her anymore and that’s the interesting thing.



Remember when I said that the people who got purged with the financial coup wouldn't see it coming? They don't realize it.

**Farrell:** . They're not seeing what is happening. Quite frankly, I don't think that anybody is thinking at that level. I don't think that anybody is thinking in terms of this 'Rape of Russia' scenario.

**Fitts:** The financial coup is over; they don't need many of these people anymore. One last thing that I will tell you on this is that a billionaire who has been having that life, it's very hard for them to understand that it's over if they don't understand how the money works, and Oprah does not understand how the money works.

**Farrell:** Send her the report. Get her on our side.

**Fitts:** Did you see the US General who said that American troops need to be ready to die for Israel?

**Farrell:** I did see that and it's crazy!

**Fitts:** One other thing that I wanted to bring up is that we are watching a targeting and genocide of white people and white farmers in South Africa. It's very systematic. It's now at the highest level of government. It's a government policy to take their land and kill them. That could not be happening unless the world banking system wanted it to happen.



There is a wonderful scene in *Hotel Rwanda* where the head of the hotel, a local black man, calls the owner in Belgium and says, “I’ve done everything that I can. There is nothing else that I can do now to protect your hotel.”

The Belgian man makes a call, and the troops stand down. It’s just like that. That’s when the man who runs the hotel realizes that there is a conspiracy.

JP Morgan Chase is the correspondent bank for South Africa. Money doesn’t go in or out unless they transact it. This can’t go on.

We see China and America competing for all the farmland in Africa. Is this only to get rid of all those nice, productive, hardworking farmers so that they can move in and take over the land?

**Farrell:** I wasn’t prepared for this one, but I have several possible speculations here. They’ve been raping Africa for decades.

**Fitts:** It’s been centuries.

**Farrell:** There have been some African nations that have bucked the whole vaccine/GMO program. I wouldn’t be a bit surprised, particularly since you mentioned JP Morgan and its relationship with South Africa, if you are going to see those white farmers kicked off their land. Incidentally, Australia has offered asylum to many of them.

**Fitts:** That is smart because they are immensely capable, talented people, and Australia is trying to expand their farming capabilities.



**Farrell:** I suspect that in Australia's case there may be other hidden motivations, but we can talk about that later.

**Fitts:** Australia is trying to build out their arable land because China can suck up as much food as they can produce.

**Farrell:** I suspect that there may be a hidden GMO agenda. I wouldn't be a bit surprised if you're going to see the South African government turn around and be inundated with GMOs.

**Fitts:** Without those farmers, they could collapse.

**Farrell:** This is precisely where I'm going with this.

**Fitts:** Then whomever comes in takes control.

**Farrell:** We're going to see a collapse like we did in Zimbabwe, Rhodesia, and wherever, and that will be the excuse to come back in there with military force and impose order.

**Fitts:** I've watched some of the militant government people who are for this policy interviewed. I've seen news interview of them. They sound exactly like the Black Lives Matter people and all the other Soros-funded groups.

**Farrell:** That's why I say that I think you might be looking at a scenario where those people are being set up for a big intervention.



**Fitts:** The white people make the mistake of thinking that it's the black people who are genociding them. It's not the black people; they are on a leash.

**Farrell:** They are on a financial leash. If you look at the history of South Africa going back to the heir of Cecil Rhodes, Lord Milner, and Milner's kitchen at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> Century, and that whole British aristocracy City of London group of people, their persistent problem in South Africa was the Boers – the Dutch.

**Fitts:** They're not British; they're Dutch.

**Farrell:** They were tremendously independent, they were tremendously productive, and Britain had to fight a war and put them in concentration camps. I think you could make a case that this is part of that ongoing, centuries-long struggle to get rid of the Boers.

**Fitts:** They're not British; they're Dutch.

**Farrell:** They were tremendously independent, they were tremendously productive, and Britain had to fight a war and put them in concentration camps. I think you could make a case that this is part of that ongoing, centuries-long struggle to get rid of the Boers. If they are successful – if Australia ends up taking much of that population around mid Australia and so on into Australia, and then you have a radical Marxist government in Pretoria, they're going to go in there and are going to impose order again.



**Fitts:** They will wait until there is significant depopulation, and they will flash across the world stage, “Blacks are incompetent. You can’t trust blacks. If you give blacks control, this is what happens. They just mess it up.”

**Farrell:** That is precisely what I’m getting at. They will, not only do it in South Africa, but they will do it in Namibia – the gold, the uranium, the diamonds – and they will do it in Zimbabwe. That is exactly what I think they’re doing.

**Fitts:** It’s really interesting because during the litigation I could never understand why they would spend \$45 million when they could just shoot me. It just seemed so much more economical to shoot me.

**Farrell:** Talk about a macabre turn!

**Fitts:** It’s a job program. They need to keep people alive.

**Farrell:** Well, you can’t go around shooting all of the smart people. That’s another problem.

**Fitts:** They’re killing many of them! In the *Gladiator*, there is a great scene where they’re worried that the gladiator is going to be poisoned. Then one of the gladiators turns to him and says, “They’re not going to poison you. Before they can kill you, they need to kill your name.”

That’s what you see. If you look at what they do, and if you look at the cyber war that we’ve been having the last six weeks, what they are trying to do is kill our name. “They’re inefficient. Their emails don’t work...”



I've said this many times on The Solari Report. I spend about \$100,000 a year just dealing with headwinds, and that is to kill my profits so that I can't reinvest in the business.

“Well, it's not professional...” So, they keep trying to kill names.

**Farrell:** That is exactly what they are trying to do in South Africa. They are being set up for a fall.

**Fitts:** This one is going to be bad and many people are going to die. I want everybody to watch it and remember that the people doing this are doing the 'Rape of Russia' here. It's the same group.

I had a great trip to Mexico two weeks ago, and the journalists in Mexico's biggest problem, is assassination. They are having 10-15 journalists assassinated every year.

I said, “You should come to America because we only assassinate natural health practitioners. We don't assassinate journalists.”

So, all the natural health practitioners should go to Mexico, and all the journalists should come here.

Do you have anything else on Ziocon Flu, Swamp Wars, & Purges? It's going to continue but do not think that they are cleaning up the swamp. This is not 'cleaning up the swamp'.

**Story #8: 'The Pension Fund Crisis'.** We talked about it, and I published the Annual Wrap Up theme, 'The State of our Pension Funds'.



If you haven't read it, please read it. My point is that before we can accomplish anything good on the pension funds, we have to change the narrative. There is not a crisis; there is a plan. That plan is to launder our savings out of our pension funds and into the US government so that it can be stolen and we can end up instead with an IOU from ourselves.

**Farrell:** If it hits at the same time that they're raping and privatizing assets and buying them up for pennies on the dollar, that IOU is going to be next to impossible to pay off. That is your financial slavery and that is your financial feudalism.

**Fitts:** Even if you wiped out all the treasuries, they still have trillions in assets.

OECD did a fabulous study on pension funds, and there are all sorts of links in the Annual Wrap Up at [www.Pension.Solari.com](http://www.Pension.Solari.com). One of the things that you see is we have \$25 trillion in pension fund assets. Do you know how much China has? One trillion.

So, on a relative basis compared to anybody else in the world, we are looking great. Even if you wiped out all the treasuries, there is still trillions of dollars of money, and that is a precious, precious pool of capital. How it's governed and managed is critical.

In the 'Rape of Russia', remember what they did to the pension funds? They stole them. I think that you want to pay attention to pension funds.



One thing that I observed in writing about pension funds was that many people said to me, “I don’t care about pension funds; I don’t have a pension.”

I said, “Really? As a taxpayer for Federal, state, and local taxes, you are essentially liable for almost 100% of outstanding pensions. Did you know that?”

They had no idea. So, I walked them through the fact that their municipality and their state and their Federal government is liable for trillions of dollars of pensions, and there is a real competition between services and pension funds for their tax dollar.

They didn’t seem to understand that. In jurisdictions where the property taxes have doubled to pay the pension funds, your appraised value and real estate has been cut in half. So, you’re talking about the quality of education, real estate valuation, property taxes, income taxes, and here is what complicates the problem. When you get into an Illinois-type of situation, Illinois is now the poster child for serious pension fund problems, all sorts of crazy things start happening. That is when the covert, the violent, and the crazy activities starts happening, as well as crime. You end up with a 30% illegal population of Mexicans who have been brought in to keep the economy going and taxes flowing, and now you have a real problem.

The last thing that I want to talk about in Pension Funds is, if you said to me, “Who can stop the ‘Rape of Russia’ in North America?” it’s the pension fund trustees. The pension fund trustees control the spigot. The state and local governments also control a big part of that spigot.



So, if you take the state legislators, the state attorney generals, and all the pension fund trustees in the country, they have the power to say, “No, we will not finance this.”

You make it fashionable and get to the point where the Federal Reserve can control all the liquidity, and then the state legislators and the pension funds have a lot less power. Right now, the pension fund trustees alone can stop this, and that is a huge power point in this society.

**Farrell:** That is all the more reason for people aware of this to spread the word. If they know anybody who is a pension fund trustee, spread the word to them fast.

**Fitts:** There was a presentation that I put up in ‘The State of Our Pension Funds’ of the retired Mayor of San Leandro speaking to the City Council and insisting that they pull out of the CalPERS system.

**Farrell:** I don’t blame him.

**Fitts:** We’ve all been operating on the premise that we could trust these various institutions to manage your money, and the question is: If this is what they are going to finance – if they are going to finance the destruction of the Constitution and the destruction of the US governance structure – why are we financing it with our savings?

**Story #9: ‘Putin & Xi Jinping – Here to Stay’.**

**Farrell:** This is important.



**Fitts:** This is very important. We watched Putin win reelection on March 18<sup>th</sup> for a six-year term, so he is certainly in the catbird seat. He has an 80% approval rating. The sanctions slapped on by the Brit's and the Americans right beforehand got him another ten points in the election.

In the meantime, the party and the apparatus in China has agreed to cancel term limits, and Xi Jinping is essentially the Emperor of China. Basically, no one knows how long his term is.

**Farrell:** You made some very good points, and I want to make sure that you repeat them. Number one, you talked about the stability that this represents vis-à-vis Russia and China and the West.

Virtually every government in the West now is weak and unstable from Merkel, to Theresa May, to this country. You made that point, but you made another really interesting point, and I want to make sure that you get it on the record about what you think Xi Jinping's move really means about corruption.

**Fitts:** I think that they've been grappling. China got hurt tremendously by the financial crisis and the bailouts. Whether they were required to or they chose to, they responded by massively increasing their debt. That debt has kept the global economy pumping along.

But now they have to handle enormous misallocation of capital and the corruption that comes with it, and I think that they've been struggling with that. I also think that they've been appalled by what they're watching in the West, and I think that part of what you're seeing is that the Chinese are very big on face.



Putin has been trying to deal with the situation by giving numerous interviews and talking openly about what he's doing and why he's doing it. The Chinese are very quiet.

We saw the last year's Christmas speech when Putin talked about the pedophilia in the West. Basically, what he was describing was a deep cultural aversion to Western perversion. And I mean Western perversion!

**Farrell:** I'm in full agreement with you.

**Fitts:** I watched a very tough DVD on Pizzagate by an African American activist, and he put together a history of the LGBT movement, not as a history of gay people trying to make sure that they had individual rights, but as an effort by the ziocons to legalize pedophilia. It's very compelling. The evidence is compelling and frightening, and it's deeply sick and deeply perverted.

It's designed to change children into a natural resource that can be harvested physically, emotionally, and mentally and it's disgusting.

I think in his Christmas speech Putin described it very succinctly. This is the Russian Orthodox Church and human values saying, "We reject this perversion."

**Farrell:** I'm in agreement with you and that's who is really talking there. It's the Russian Orthodox culture that was talking in that speech, but he did other things in that speech, as well.



If you look at that speech and then throw it in with everything else he said, fundamentally, Putin has been challenging all the dogmas of Mr. Globaloney. He's been challenging the dogma that corporations should run the world and not nation-states, he's been challenging this culture of perversion that Mr. Globaloney wants to promote, he's been challenging the bad food that Westerners eat, he's been challenging vaccines, and right down the line.

**Fitts:** It's the complete model.

**Farrell:** I think you're right about Xi Jinping because the Chinese are looking at the same thing, and they've been in bed with Mr. Globaloney. They've done their business with the Rothschilds and so on. I think you are absolutely right and I think they're backing off. I also think that this move is less about clamping down.

The way that you put it was: "If this is democracy, we don't want it."

**Fitts:** What they're saying is that the Western system does not work, "It certainly doesn't work for the West, and it doesn't work for us."

One of the things that they're watching is the deep negative return on investment of the central banking warfare model. They're saying, "So you don't make financial and economic sense unless you can harvest us. You need our subsidy to make your nonsustainable thing go."

The other thing is that I think part of this is Confucius philosophy versus LGBT perversion.

**Farrell:** It's a typical Confucian reaction, and I think you nailed it.



**Fitts:** Have you ever spent much time with the Chinese? I lived in Hong Kong for a year when I was in college, and I've spent an abundance of time in and around the Chinese. Hamilton Securities was investing in companies in China that could do database development for us and I was there in 1997.

The Chinese are deeply racist. If you read the PRC constitution, it's racial. If you're genetically Han Chinese anywhere in the world, you have the benefits of being under the protection of that constitution, and the Chinese consider themselves deeply superior. They're not arrogant in their behavior, but they're very confident. It's the Middle Kingdom; it's the center of the world. For most of human history, they've been the biggest, strongest, most powerful economy, and the most powerful technology. Their intellectual capacity is extraordinary.

I think they're looking at what's happening in the West – particularly the ziocon media and I'm back to the perversion and the inhumanity – and I think they're saying that this is not sustainable. Whether they look at it financially or morally, it depends on the ability to harvest in an inhuman way, “And it doesn't work for us, and we don't want it.”

I think at the base of that, it's not political; it's cultural and spiritual. I think there is a deep disgust. I think that they are disgusted, and it's a snobbery.

**Farrell:** You can't blame them. I made the point when you ran that evaluation by me that, if you look at Chinese history as a whole and then you look particularly at the last century, beginning with Sun Yat-sen, and the nationalists and the Maoists —



you had changes of government from the imperial era to the communist era- but underneath that you have a deeply imbedded millennia-old culture that really has not changed in its fundamental outlook all that much.

I think that you have nailed it that this is a cultural reaction that has propelled or impelled or compelled Mr. Xi to do that and for the communist party to do that.

**Fitts:** There is a second part to this.

**Farrell:** I know but I'm trying to reinforce what you're saying, that historically this makes a great deal of sense to me.

**Fitts:** There is a deep Confucian aversion, and it's just like the herd moving away from the dying animal. The dangerous part of this is the second aspect of that is, "Oh, we can beat them," and that is the same thing as Russia.

Russia is saying, "Oh, they can be defeated. We can beat them. It's us or them."

So, a decision is made, and it's a long-term plan. If you read their plans, they write them out and they are very clear. They have a long-term plan to supplant the West and take back the number one position, and their attitude is, "We've had that for most of history; this is nothing new. We can do it."



So, you're setting up something where the only way the Anglo-American Alliance can come out okay is to go to war and defeat them.

**Farrell:** Granted, the thinking of the stupid elites who are running the Anglo-American Alliance – the Atlanticists or the Globalonists – are thinking in terms of war. I'm thinking in terms of culture.

If China wants to do that, ultimately that puts them at odds with Russia. In terms of culture, Russia would have much more in common with the West, and it would be able to pick up the pieces of Western culture. This is why I think that Putin's speeches over the years have been so very interesting. He has positioned himself as a spokesman for the disenfranchised people of the West.

He's not speaking against them as much as he is speaking against the Western elite. If you recall, you can go back to the final era of the Gorbachev era, and Gorbachev was saying much the same thing. He was saying that Russia is European.

**Fitts:** . It is, Putin is from St. Petersburg.

**Farrell:** It seems that the GMO geopolitics, as I call it, is a part of this much bigger, broader cultural strategy that you see Putin and his advisors enacting, and that is to become the spokesman for the disenfranchised people in the West. They are doing it brilliantly.

**Fitts:** They really are and that's why there is so much anger directed at RT.



**Farrell:** That's not the only reason there is so much anger. Let me tell you an anecdote. When my friends and I were driving back from the Secret Space Program conference in San Mateo, we were driving down Interstate 5 in California, and in the distance, we saw a truck that we were passing. There was already a political bumper sticker on it, but we couldn't see it. As we got closer, we thought, "Already there's a 2016 bumper sticker," but then we got even closer, and this redneck Californian had a 'Putin for President 2016' bumper sticker on his truck.

We got to thinking about that, and why? Why would a supposedly conservative Northern California redneck American Republican – which is probably what he was, with his gun rack and all – why would they do that?

We came to the conclusion that he is speaking to that group of people in the West. He has commented on the open borders policy, the immigration crisis in Europe, and said that this is suicide. He's said, "Why are you people doing this?" He has commented on everything, and says, "We're not going to do it here."

**Fitts:** One thing that I discovered during the bailouts was, when they tried to do the bailouts the first time, 80% of the American people were against it, and they were calling Congress. I was calling my Congressman's office every hour and saying, "Do not vote for this." My Congressman voted against it twice.

Paulson said that if we don't do this, the entire economy will collapse, and the American people said, "We don't care," and they voted it down.



Well, of course, nothing collapsed, the markets didn't collapse, and everything felt great because there was law in America. Then Congress passed it the second time around. For all I know, they threatened to kill people's children. I don't know how they did it, but they did.

What Putin is the master of – because he's an intelligence officer and an SES and a Mandarin – is he understands the power of law and how to use the law to create a governance structure and framework that people can depend on.

If you listen to the Oliver Stone interviews, or the one that we are going to do as the 'Let's Go to the Movies' before this interview, which is called *World Order 2018*, and is a long interview by one of the Russian journalists who is a master.

We talked about how the Russian military could be much more efficient in its use of money. You have a group of people who share a common purpose other than only making money.

**Farrell:** They share a common purpose other than making money, and they have a common cultural root.

**Fitts:** That umbrella is good for another six years, and that makes them powerful as a culture.

I think that a series of things have happened, both with the term limits and with the election, that now are making the Russians and the Chinese significantly more formidable.



**Farrell:** Oh, absolutely. They are stable, and their leadership isn't insane. Let's be blunt: They're not insane.

**Fitts:** I don't think that our leadership is insane. If you look at our Cabinet, I can't think of anybody there who I would describe as insane.

**Farrell:** What I mean by 'our' in this context is the West – the Anglosphere and Mr. Globaloney and the people who want the war.

**Fitts:** Let me bring this up even though I would not say that it is an important story.

I sent you a recent Peggy Noonan commentary. Hillary Clinton, in India, proceeded to say that the reason she lost the election was because white women did what their husband told them instead of doing what they wanted to do.

**Farrell:** I don't recall my parents acting that way. If my dad told my mom to do something, that began the fight right there!

**Fitts:** You read it and think, "Is there anybody in America yet that you haven't offended that you want to offend?"

Is this the democratic strategy to win the 2018 election? Let's get rid of white men, and let's get rid of white women.

**Farrell:** Let's get rid of the full 'basket of deplorables'.

**Fitts:** You're all deplorable! Maybe they're just planning on doing the 'Rape of Russia' and we'll all die. Maybe that's it.



**Farrell:** Who knows?

**Fitts:** And you think that this can't really be happening.

**Farrell:** I read that, and then she went on and on about the blue counties and the red counties, and how the blue counties are so much more productive. All of us people here in the red areas of the country are just kind-of lazy.

**Fitts:** You know this because you know my article, *The Productivity Backlash*, and you know that it's not true. The blue counties are swimming in government money, and they're all dealing with invisible assets. They're doing software and Wall Street, and they don't have to deal with real cows, and real soil, and real oil wells, and pipelines; they're in la la land.

**Farrell:** I saw that Hillary interview, and it was funny because the interviewer in India – I think it was in New Delhi – was as though, “Are you for real?”

You can see the muscles in his face contract because he's trying very hard not to laugh.

**Fitts:** That used to happen to me when I was in Washington all the time. It was very hard to get out of meetings and not laugh.

**Farrell:** They are in la la land. It was all the white women who obeyed their husbands!



**Fitts:** I have to tell you one HUD story because this is typical. This is what happens with people who work in a rigged system; they get stupid.

We had a problem at HUD where all of these Section 8 subsidized housing apartments were owned by private owners. They obtained a 20-year deal, and they agreed to keep it low income for that 20 years. But in 20 years, the subsidy ran out, and they could displace the subsidized tenants and bring it up to market rates. That was the law; that was their contract. They had agreed to 20 years, but no more.

If we gave them a new contract, they would keep it, but it would blow our budget. We didn't have the money to pay them to do it another 20 years. It was called 'Preservation'.

When I first became Assistant Secretary, the question was: How are we going to deal with Preservation?

The hotline rang, and I pick up the phone. It's the Secretary, and he says, "Get up here! We've found a solution for Preservation."

I thought, "What have I missed?"

**Farrell:** You're talking about Jack Kemp?

**Fitts:** Yes. He had these two assistants from the Heritage Foundation who were a piece of work. Talk about not able to deal with complexity!



So, I got up there, and Kemp looks at me and says, “We’ve figured it out! Here is what we’re going to do. These owners have made a fortune.”

Remember that many of these properties are in high-cost areas where now they can make a fortune if they take it to market rates. The areas have been gentrified, and there is inflation, and monetary policy has driven it. So, they can double their net worth on the property if they can take it to market rates.

So, Jack Kemp says, “We’ve figured out a solution, and it won’t hurt the budget.”

I said, “That’s great! What is it?”

He said, “These developers have made a fortune on these properties. Why should we give them a windfall? We’ll just exercise eminent domain and take the properties.” And remember, these are privately owned properties. He said, “What do you think?”

I’m trying not to laugh, and trying to keep a straight face. So, I said, “Mr. Secretary,” and remember that I’ve only been at HUD for two weeks, “I’m a Republican, and one of the reasons I’m a Republican is because I believe in the sanctity of private property rights. Where I come from and what you’re proposing we call ‘communism’.”

I’m still trying not to laugh, and you have no idea how hard this was. I said, “I have no knowledge of your hopes for higher office, but in my understanding, you’re interested in running for a higher office.



I would think that if you were running for a higher office as a Republican, you would not want to propose – let alone execute – communist policy and expropriate private property.”

Kemp looked at me-he’s a football player, and his head got banged up a lot-and said, “Oh, you’re right. It won’t work.” Then he turned to the two aids and said, “Forget it; it won’t work.”

**Farrell:** This is like *The Three Stooges* with Moe, Larry, and Curly in charge of HUD!

**Fitts:** I spent 18 months like this. You should listen to the Kemp tapes.

So, he turned to them and said, “Thanks for trying, but it’s not going to work.”

One of the heritage assistants said, “I told you that you shouldn’t call her! She always talks you out of doing this! I don’t want her in any of the meetings.”

I walked back to my office, and thought, “Wow!”

**Farrell:** The country is in ‘deep doo-doo’ with idiots like this! Oh my word!

**Fitts:** That is why there is a very famous story during the litigation when I had twelve attorneys suing all these different states. So, the insurance company basically wouldn’t fund my attorney. My attorney dropped, and they brought in this ‘pretty boy’.



So, I'm with 'pretty boy', and the twelve other attorneys who handle the states were trying to dictate terms to me. They said, "We want you to blame AT&T." (There are more AT&T stories here.)

I had a contract with AT&T, and my contract said that if there was a political problem, it was my problem, not theirs. And this was a political problem. Yes, they made a mistake, but they were a subcontractor. Bell Labs had made a very serious mistake. We caught it and cleaned it up, but it was being used as a pretext. It was something that the government's own witness said that it had not lost the government a dime.

There was no cash problem. The deals on which the mistake had been made had made a fortune for the government and were very successful. It was dancing on the head of a pin.

I said, "This is a political problem. According to the contract, it's my problem, and I'm the contractor, so I'm responsible for any mistakes by subcontractors. I take responsibility, and I'm not going to put it on AT&T. It's a political problem, and it's my problem."

They said, "Well, we don't read the contract that way. If you don't do what we say, we're going to drop the suit and you're going to prison."

Actually, that is a violation of insurance law. It's unfair insurance practices to bully like that. But I stopped and said, "Wait a minute. We need to step back, and you need to understand something. I'm the President of Hamilton Securities. I made that contract. What I'm telling you is that was the deal.



If you think that you can interpret the contract differently, then when AT&T calls to testify, I'm going to testify on their behalf that they are right and you are wrong." That was the deal. I gave my word, and I can't change my word. It's too late. So that was number one.

Number two, I said, "I run Hamilton Securities. I'm the President. I'm in control. If you don't like that and you're going to drop, fine. We'll fight about whether you can drop or not."

The third thing that I said was, "What you need to understand about working with me is that I'm obedient to the laws of God, and there is nothing that you can say or do to get me to violate those. If that means that I'm going to prison, then I'm going to prison because God wants me to go to prison so that I can organize the last group of entrepreneurs I need to run the country because, if I'm going to prison, the country will fall."

I said, "Gandhi went to prison and Martin Luther King went to prison, so I can go to prison. So, you had just better learn that I'm in charge. If that's a problem, drop."

Then I said, "Why are you attorneys? Why are you lawyers? Why did you go to law school? You don't believe in the law. I believe in the law, but you don't believe in the law."

They all looked at their shoes like they were little kids. The attorney, three months later, told me that was when it occurred to him we were going to win. I said to him, "So you ordered me to do something that if I would have done it, it would have assured you we were going to lose? Why would you do that?"



I grew up in a system where I thought that everything was rigged and that they could rig whatever they wanted. It was only through the litigation that I discovered that they can't and that the law matters, and that there is a thing called law, and it's real. It has unbelievable power. That's what you're watching Putin do.

**Farrell:** Exactly. He is articulating, not only a moral law, but he is articulating natural law, cultural law, and social law all at the same time. He's challenging all these make-believe dogmas. That's why he has the stature that he has in the West amongst common people.

**Fitts:** If you go back to what I did and why I did it in Washington, my whole shtick – because I'm not a very sentimental person, as you know – was not, "What you're doing is wrong." My whole shtick was, "What you're doing isn't going to work. If you want to depopulate, for God's sake kill the slugs, not the honest people. Don't kill the hardworking people and the productive people. What you're talking about is lifting up and subsidizing a system which is uneconomic, and unproductive, and immoral, and never can and never will have the Mandate of Heaven, and you're going to die in the Midianite thing."

**Farrell:** When the elite depopulate the world and leave themselves in charge, it will fall apart. They have not a clue.

**Fitts:** All I can say is that Putin and Xi Jinping, unfortunately, are closer to getting a Mandate of Heaven that we are losing, and that is very dangerous.

**Farrell:** I would include Abe in that, too.



**Fitts:** So with a heavy heart, we go to **Story #10: ‘The Eurocentric Struggle’**.

**Farrell:** This is very important. The way that I see it, you have two major things going on here. You have the German elections with Merkel and her party getting bad results for her immigration policies. The alternative for Deutschland is 93 or 94 seats in the Bundestag. I watched a couple of Bundestag sessions, and whenever anybody from the AFP would get up and speak, she would walk out. She wouldn't even stay.

The problem as I see it in Germany is you've so many problems across the board. She has created such a mess. She has a weak coalition government; she's governing in a minority government with the SPD; and I think that is going to hurt the SPD. She has two Cabinet ministers who seem to be absolute loggerheads. She has this Deputy Finance Minister from Goldman Sachs.

**Fitts:** Right, and she lost a very strong player.

**Farrell:** She lost Schäuble; she kicked him out. She kicked out her Foreign Minister, Steinmeier. She's brought in the Bavarian Christian Social Union, which is an allied party in Bavaria as the Interior Ministry, and he's come out against her own refugee policy publicly. So, her government looks to be very, very weak. I would be very surprised to see if it survives a full term.

**Fitts:** She bet the ranch on a Soros immigration policy. Why?



**Farrell:** I don't know. I don't know what the game is. I've been trying to figure this out. What was really the game behind her immigration policy? They flooded Italy, and it backfired bigtime on them there.

**Fitts:** I'm thinking that the only reason was that the war on terror and immigration is often a government marketing plan where the population is going to take back control. The only way you can take control or keep control is to have something going on that they need the government to deal with.

You create a problem so that you, as the government, can solve it.

**Farrell:** My scenario is similar, but a bit different. If there was a scenario, I think that probably one of the things that they were trying to do was to create a European sense of identity rather than a Dutch, or a French, or a Swedish, or a Norwegian, or Austrian sense of identity.

In other words, they wanted to create the crisis, and then step in as the great EU and save the day rather than as a national solution. The problem is that Merkel bet the ranch and lost. She is now in a very weak government. This is occurring at the same time that you have the looming Deutsche Bank problem. We've already referred to the Chinese company coming in and buying a 10% stake in Deutsche Bank. But the big news was the Italian election. I told people years ago to watch Italy.



I believe it's looking like the Italians are sitting in the catbird seat because now they're dealing with a weak government in Berlin and a sort-of weak government in Paris. I don't think that Macron is as strong as people think he is. The Italians have had it with the euro zone. Their own banking system is in amiss, largely because it's been tied in with the Deutsche Bank. They don't want the European immigration policy to continue. And as their back-up plan, this coalition government is already talking about pulling out of the EU and going back on a national currency, which would be the best thing for them to do.

**Fitts:** I reviewed the book of the Green finance minister who described the fact that they couldn't get control of the payment systems and software that brought the taxes into the equivalent of their IRS, which was controlled by the banks, not by the government. So, the question is: Do they have sufficient control to assert control of their banking cash flows and systems?

**Farrell:** That will be the problem. I suspect that they do. If they don't, I suspect that they can get it rather quickly, these are Italians after all. I said it before in the interview: They've been playing this game, and they invented the game as far as the West is concerned.

I think that Italy is stepping into this round of negotiations with a pretty strong hand against a very, very weak hand in Berlin and a very weak hand in Brussels.

**Fitts:** I'll tell you one thing about the Italian people: They think strategically. This is not a function of price; this goes back to morals and values.



**Farrell:** This is their culture at stake, and that is the way that the election was played out.

**Fitts:** It's the same with England.

**Farrell:** It's the same with England, Austria, Hungary, and Poland. Poland has told the EU, "We're not going to do it."

**Fitts:** I keep thinking of my pastor in Washington who was furious that day. He screamed, "God does not bless a mess."

I think that is what you see Putin saying, and I think that is what Xi Jinping and the Chinese are saying, and I think that is what the Italians are saying. I think they've had it.

**Farrell:** They have, and if I were Italian and looking at the mess that Merkel and Brussels have made, I'd be livid. This is the birthplace of the Renaissance!

**Fitts:** I told you that I was talking to a German businessman who said, "I hope the EU gets destroyed." What he was furious about was Brussels making it impossible to be productive. It's the same thing that got Trump elected. People in the heartland were sick of making it impossible to be productive.

**Farrell:** Let's look at Italy's case. A couple of years ago, something happened that told me Italy was going to be the country to watch, and that was that during the middle of all these sanctions and more 'Blame Russia' accusations. The Italian Agricultural Minister went to Moscow. He went to Moscow as an official visit; this was not just him deciding to take a vacation in Moscow.



He was doing it for the express purpose of trying to figure out how Italy could get around the sanctions regime and do business with Russia in agriculture. I thought, “That’s a huge signal of something coming.”

**Fitts:** You really nailed this because Putin took the sanctions as an opportunity to rebuild Russian agriculture, and he’s been going at top speed.

In America, our two big self-sufficiencies are food and energy. Basically, what we export is food, and we’re starting to export energy – and then essentially, weapons, and pornography, and entertainment.

**Farrell:** And bombs.

**Fitts:** ‘Bombs and babes’. So, Russia is number two in weapons sales, and they’ve been taking us on and getting new orders from the Middle East. Now they’re taking us on in food.

**Farrell:** And it’s non-GMO food. That threatens the whole model right there and you add that in Europe to the national backlash against GMOs. You have it in France, Italy, Hungary, the Czech Republic, Germany, and Poland. All these countries have their own significant GMO backlash.

Putin played it very smartly. Italy is still the country to watch in the near future because what Italy may or may not be able to do is going to spill over into Spain, Portugal, and eventually France.



**Fitts:** Right, and I we talked about this last time, so we don't have to hang onto it, but the fight in Catalonia has turned very, very dirty, and it's a very similar fight to what we are seeing in Santa Rosa, which is that the centralized military pensions and the centralized debt game can't keep going, and people pull out.

**Farrell:** Madrid played a big speculative bubble and has been caught in it. I have a member of my website who lives in Catalonia, and has told me that this is part of restructuring drug trade.

**Fitts:** I think it's a fight over some of the big cash flows. I think that's what the fight over M13 is. It's who controls the US drug trade.

So, that was Story #10. We've been through the ten stories. Let me read them again:

Story #1- Most important is Marketing the 'Rape of Russia' to North America. By 'Rape of Russia' we don't mean the game of trying to start a war with Russia or blaming Russia for everything; we're talking about raping and re-engineering the whole economy in a very shock doctrine kind of way.

Story #2 - Rising Interest Rates that included the Wild Ride of Stocks.

Story #3 - The Squeeze: Big Military Budgets, Treasury Borrowing, & Inflation Spooking the Market.

Story #4 - US Tax Reform & Capital Repatriation.



Story #5 - The Evolution of Currency, which was Cash Control, Petro-Yuan, and the Central Bank Block Chain & Cryptos.

Then we went to geopolitics, and Story #6 - Trade Wars.

Story #7 - Ziocon Flu, Swamp Wars, and Purges – which is always so much fun to talk about.

Story #8 - The Pension Fund Crisis.

Story #9 - Putin & Xi Jinping – Here to Stay.

Story #10- The Eurocentric Struggle.

So, the first quarter is over. Tomorrow is April 1<sup>st</sup> – both Easter and April Fool's Day. It's the resurrection. What should we think about going forward for the next quarter? What does this mean that you should be thinking about doing and paying attention to in the second quarter?

**Farrell:** As we indicated before, I would be watching the Fed very closely.

**Fitts:** How many Governors, and who are they? Are they moving in the auction team to the Department of Justice and Fed?

**Farrell:** Those are the things that I would watch for. Geopolitically, I keep telling people to watch Italy very, very closely. Watch Deutsche Bank. Watch the Chinese and how they're interacting with Europe and Africa. China is going to be a player in Africa – I'm almost certain of it.

The Trump Administration – I don't know what to say and what to watch there. I honestly don't know.

**Fitts:** That's good because we have to do Trump's report card.



For the next quarter, is there anything else that we should be watching other than the Fed and the Department of Justice? Will they move in the A-team?

Are we headed into a war with Iran?

**Farrell:** I don't think so. He may be pressured a great deal to do it, but I don't see him doing it, I really don't. I think he knows that the stakes are too high, and it would spin out of control.

**Fitts:** Did you notice the story immediately after Tillerson was fired? Ivanka and Kushner and their children were flying to New York on a Trump helicopter, and one of the engines went out and they had to turn back.

**Farrell:** I did see that. I don't think that war with Iran is in the cards now because if our 'Rape of Russia' in North America scenario is true, they need to retrench first before they try anything like that.

**Fitts:** Trump said that they are pulling out of Syria. Maybe that is the retrenchment.

**Farrell:** Maybe the pulling out of Syria is preparation for it, but I don't think so. Strategically if they are going to start something like that prior to a retrenchment and to fortress North America, I think they would suffer defeat.



**Fitts:** Let me engage in some high-octane speculation. I just interviewed Lawrence Wilkerson, a fascinating interview, and one of the things that Wilkerson said was that it wouldn't surprise him in a couple of years if Israel didn't exist.

Is part of the 'Rape of Russia' to make sure that all of those Israelis have a place to go?

**Farrell:** That's really high-octane speculation.

**Fitts:** If you were Israel, wouldn't you want a plan B?

**Farrell:** Yes, if I were Israel, I would want a plan B possibly. You know what I told you about what I ultimately think the scenario is with many of these people. Israel is armed to the teeth with nuclear weapons, so it would be very, very difficult to do that without torching the entire Middle East. But assuming that it was to happen, a friend of mine – and I won't mention any names, but you know him – told me that his father had speculated years ago along precisely those lines, and that the plan was to move them to the Southwestern United States somewhere.

How that would fit in with what I told you I don't know. But personally, I don't see that happening. I don't see Trump starting anything with Iran for the same reasons – trying to do something like that would spin out of control so quickly, and the dangers of getting Russia and China involved before we're really fully ready for something like that.

**Fitts:** He's a builder; he's not a war monger.



**Farrell:** Precisely. He likes to build and cut deals and all of that.

**Fitts:** He may bully to get his way, but he's just negotiating price; he doesn't want to start a war.

**Farrell:** I don't see it happening. He's made symbolic concessions and so on, but I don't see him playing second fiddle to Netanyahu at all.

**Fitts:** My only concern is if they are going to shut off the engines on the Trump helicopter when his whole family is inside.

**Farrell:** I saw those stories, and that gave me concern, too. Pence is a different piece of work. He's coming out of that model that I had suggested with you, and he might be capable of doing something like that.

**Fitts:** Let's turn to the Trump report card. This is something that we've done since 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter last year, and we finally rolled it up into a grade for all of 2017. So, let's just go through the categories and give the Trump Administration a grade for the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter for Trump and his team.

For 'Vision' I would drop him to a C. I think that the vision has gotten blurred.

**Farrell:** I have to agree with you. I would say a C-. I think it's becoming way too blurred, and he's not communicating whatever vision that he has very well.



**Fitts:** If you read his national security plan, I thought that the vision was very clear. But it's not reiterated in what he's saying or doing. I think that part of it is that he is trying to outshriek the shriek-o-meter.

So, you have Stormy Daniels – blah, blah, blah. I think he's trying to out-entertain and outshriek the Stormy Daniels story. Whether it's Stormy Daniels or the other things that CNN is using against him, I think he's trying to out-entertain.

**Farrell:** It's that, and I think part of it also goes to what we said earlier – that his long-term national strategy is transitioning to a multipolar world – but in the meantime, he has to keep the unipolar going.

**Fitts:** The other concern is that there are all sorts of things happening that he can't talk about. I think it's one of the things that causes him so much frustration – trying to promote a clear view. In the Chinese system you can promote a clear vision, and in the American system you can't.

'Recruiting a Team': I would say that he really drops tremendously on this one. I had him at a B-, and now I would give him a D+.

**Farrell:** I'm going to go with that, too.

**Fitts:** I think that for recruiting a team, this is a person who's not good at personnel management in this environment. He's an entrepreneur and is used to running a small organization.



**Farrell:** My take on the D+ is different. I think with all of the shuffling that has been going on since he took office, it's very unstable. What it's signaling to people that he would come into contact to negotiate with is: 1) This is a weak Administration, and 2) It's not stable, so why negotiate with it.

I think the personnel changes are very bad from that point of view. I didn't see any good reason to drop Tillerson, quite frankly. There's too much shuffling around, and you can't create stability with that kind of attitude.

**Fitts:** In fairness to Trump, what he is saying is, "Now that I'm here, and now that I understand better, now I know what kind of team I need."

I always said it was Frank Turkek's famous quote, "The Presidency of the United States is not an entry level position." That's part of the problem; we're watching an entry level position.

'Building a Team': I give him a D-.

**Farrell:** I'm going to drop him down to a D- too, because I'm in agreement with you. You can't build a team if you're constantly changing it.

**Fitts:** I don't think the problem was changing it. In Trump's defense, what I would say is that the Senate and the FBI have done everything that they can to slow down the approval process, and much of the team-building problem is not his; he's being played. It's swamp politics.



But I would say this: You cannot recruit great people if you're going to fire people through tweets. That doesn't work.

I don't know if you realize it, but when he tweeted Tillerson's firing, Tillerson's father had died the week before. So, when we talk about Xi Jinping and the Chinese being repulsed, imagine if my father – who I was very close to – had died the week before. Can you imagine firing me through a tweet in front of the whole world? Firing the Secretary of State?

**Farrell:** There's no sense of decorum or propriety.

**Fitts:** Trump wants to be Trump, and he wants to be an entrepreneur, but the reality is that there is a great portion of this office which is about symbolism and branding. It's not a reality TV show; it's what I said to Senator Bond.

I was talking to Senator Bond's Chief of Staff, and he walked in with one of these plastic cups that float in a swimming pool. He said, "We need something to hand out at a fundraiser in St. Louis. What do you think of this?"

I studied it, and it looked like something from a cheap Hawaiian luau. I said, "Senator, the American people want to believe that their Senators are distinguished. You need something more distinguished."

He looked at me with this huge sadness, "If they only know. I live in the swamp."

'Accomplishments to Date': I give him a B+.



**Farrell:** I'm going to give him an A-. I think he is handling the North Korea thing very well. I think he handled the tax thing very well. I think he has handled the Obamacare mandate repeal fairly well. I think he is beginning to fight back in a practical way against the constant bombardment that he's been getting, and there are little signals that we will get to in Part II that indicate that he is learning how to send messages better.

**Fitts:** I agree.

**Farrell:** I have to give him credit here. Considering the opposition that he has faced – not only with the Democrats, but with his own party and Congress – he has managed to get quite a bit done.

**Fitts:** Under 'Effort' I gave him a B, and I'm going to raise that to an A.

**Farrell:** I'm going to give him an A for effort.

**Fitts:** I think that what he is dealing with is brutal, and I think he wasn't trained or experienced to deal with it. His faithfulness in trying to implement what he promised, and getting up every day and going back to work, this is a man who doesn't give up.

For 'Communication' I had him at a C+, and I would keep him there.



**Farrell:** I'm going to raise him to a B- on this one because I think that for reasons I will get into in Part II, I think he is learning how to send messages more subtly, although with the Tillerson thing, he obviously needs some education in tact and protocol.

**Fitts:** 'War Games and Mapping the Swamp' I'm going to raise him from a C- to a B.

**Farrell:** I'm going to keep him at a B. I think he has dyed the water sufficiently, and he knows where the lay of the land is now.

**Fitts:** He is getting better at it.

'Building Bottom-Up Support' I gave him a D, but I'm going to bump him up to a C+.

**Farrell:** I'm going to raise him from a C- to a C. He's still not getting his message out sufficiently.

**Fitts:** I would agree, but he is trying to take care of his base. I don't know if you've seen this omnibus bill. He had tweeted, "I'll never sign a bill like this again," because there was a possibility that he was going to veto it. My fear was that that was the game around the helicopter. They knocked out the engine to make it clear to him that he had better sign it.

He tweeted, "I'll never sign a bill like this again."

Ann Coulter tweeted, "You're right because you're going to be impeached." She was so steamed, is after him, and is furious.



He is having to make compromises which are not acceptable to the base, and this thing on gun control could kill him.

**Farrell:** I think so, too.

**Fitts:** He had better be careful.

‘Introducing Important Change’ I had him at a B, and I’m going to continue the B.

**Farrell:** I’m going to keep him at a C. He’s compromising on all the wrong areas, as far as I’m concerned.

**Fitts:** You’re right. I’ll make it a B-.

‘Building Bridges Internationally’ I had him at a C-, and I’m going to keep him there.

**Farrell:** I didn’t have a grade for him on this, but I’m going to go with a C- on that one, too. I’m tempted to give him a B- because I do think that he is trying to build bridges in a weird way. I think he’s done fairly well.

**Fitts:** You put up a tariff, and then you except everybody from it – except for China.

For ‘Building Bridges Domestically’ I gave him an F. You gave him a D. I’m going to give him a D-. I’ll bump him a little.



**Farrell:** I'm going to keep him at a D. I don't know what bridges he can build domestically other than to try to shore up his base again, which I think he is in very serious danger of losing.

**Fitts:** I see Putin walk into people who are violently opposed to him and try to logically present his case. Trump has to do that. He has to take his case. He is the President of all of the American people. He has to take his case to everybody, whether they like him or not. You're the President; you have to do it.

'Managing the Executive Bureaucracy' I had him at a C-, and I'm going to keep him at a C-.

**Farrell:** I'm going to keep him at a C-, too.

**Fitts:** 'Grand Strategy for American and Our Role in the World': I said it was too early to grade, and now I'm giving him a B-.

Here it's difficult because I think you're right. I think he is trying to keep the unipolar going while he reshores for a multipolar.

**Farrell:** The question is: Is he part of the 'Rape of Russia' game plan?

**Fitts:** I don't know.

**Farrell:** That's my problem. I don't know either. It's hard to read. Personally, I don't think that he would be in favor of it, but he has so much pressure around him from that group that it's hard to tell.



**Fitts:** The casino industry depends on the general population for their profits. Yes, they are laundering money for the CIA, too, but I don't think that Trump is into a 25 billion-person die-off of people in the American heartland or in the cities.

**Farrell:** Plus you can't launder money through empty casinos.

**Fitts:** They can. I drive throughout the country, and they are laundering money through empty casinos. There are empty casinos all over America that clearly don't have the business to support them.

They tried to replace consumers with government contracts, but how far can that go? You still need taxpayers. So, I don't know what to say on this because I don't know.

**Farrell:** We'll say, "Don't know," and I'm going to drop him to a B- because he's articulated this multipolar world strategy, he's still talking too much unipolar bluster, and I don't know where he stands in terms of our 'Rape of Russia' scenario.

**Fitts:** I'll just say, "Too early to grade," because I'm worried about the 'Rape of Russia'.

'Managing the Federal Budget and Contracting Budget' I would give him an F. I was at an F before, and I'm giving him another F.



**Farrell:** Keep carrying mine too, because I'm in agreement. With the omnibus bill why sign something like that? As far as I'm concerned, the only hope that he has now to redeem the loss in his base – because of that – is how he spends the money. People don't understand the difference between an omnibus and a budget. He has a wide discretionary power.

**Fitts:** 'Staying Physically and Emotionally Healthy', I would give him a B-. You are responsible for things that you don't control, and the frustration is enormous. He has a bureaucracy underneath him that is sabotaging him all day long. So, I just think that he is handling it much better than could be expected.

**Farrell:** I do, too. I'm going to drop him to a B. He looks a little more haggard, but that's part of the job.

**Fitts:** 'Growing in the Job' I had him at a B-, and I'll keep him there.

**Farrell:** I'm going to keep him at a B- too.

**Fitts:** So, looking to the 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter, what do we think? Coming into the 2018 elections, Trump in the press does not look strong. I told you that he did a fundraiser in Beverly Hills at \$250,000 a plate. That tells me that he did know about the fires. People pay \$500,000 for a couple to suck up to somebody so that their house doesn't get burned down. It's going to be quite a fundraising technique.

**Farrell:** That is disturbing to me.



**Fitts:** Between the houses in Santa Barbara – including Oprah’s – and the fires in Santa Rosa, \$250,000 a plate is a big dinner.

I think the thing that concerns me is the shriek-o-meters show in and around the Trump Administration is destroying our brand globally. The Bush show was bad enough, and then the Clinton show on top of it, and the Obama show, and now this. I just think that the US brand globally is in the tank.

The CNN game is offensive enough. What is going on in terms of serious perversion and the pedophilia underneath the carpet – too many people know.

I also think that the mind control is offensive.

**Farrell:** It is. He has his work cut out for him. The way I’m looking at it – and I’ve told this to people who are into the Q-Anon cult – I put it to them this way: If there is any shred of truth in all of that Q-Anon thing, then if they are going to do anything, it has to be before these midterm elections.

If you’re waiting for some big revelation to bust, it’s going to come in September or October – right before the elections. I’m not expecting it to happen; I’m simply saying that if any of that Q-Anon thing is coming, just wait until we’re preparing all the indictments and all that. If any of that is going to happen, it’s going to happen then.



**Fitts:** There is plenty of truth in this sense. You have many indictments all over the country. We don't know what they're about, but they're all there. That's true. We have the human trafficking executive order in place, and we now have over 1,000 people subject to it. We have broad powers, and now with the omnibus bill, we have broad amounts of money for the military to basically round up and throw people in prison in the United States.

We've built a machinery to do an incredible purge on scale in North America. It's massive. We also know that Mattis went to Guantanamo Bay, and there are many rumors that it's been expanded.

The question is: Who is the purge targeting? If you look at the executive orders and the legal and regulatory changes and the money, they have set an infrastructure to do a massive North America purge.

**Farrell:** They have, and this is why I'm saying that if they are going to do anything politically, the time to do it is right before the midterms. They're not going to wait until after the midterms; what's the point. If they're going to do anything to reclaim the base and shore up support for the second term run, they have to do it before the midterm elections. My prediction is that they're not going to do it early; they're going to do it late September or October.

**Fitts:** So, if you're going to do it in September/October, who are you going after?



**Farrell:** I don't know. If we indulge in the wishful thinking of the Q-Anon cult, you're indicting the Clinton network, you're indicting the pedophilia network, you're indicting politicians and safe cities, and all of that. That's the wishful thinking scenario.

On the other hand, this will be a way to test some aspects of this 'Rape of Russia' scenario because if that scenario is true, then the purge is going to be directed at people who might stand in the way of that scenario. If they're really clever, it could be both. Remember, in my opinion, if this scenario is true, then you have to throw the Clinton machine under the bus. Just get rid of the B-team altogether.

**Fitts:** You have an M13 network across the country that is very much tied in with the Clinton and Bush syndicate and very tied in, as I see it, with the local Masons. Remember that they were the ones who did the housing bubble.

So, you have the Mason network all over the country, you have the Bushes, you have the Clintons, you have the drugs, and this is very much part and parcel of the drug network. I keep trying to see it clearly in terms of what Trump and the military are doing regarding the coming purge.

**Farrell:** If they are clever, they will do a little of both. But if they are going to do anything, they're going to do it before the elections. They will do it depending on how the polls are going, but my bet is that they are going to do it a month or two prior to the elections. They are going to do it with enough time that there will be a media firestorm created that the mainstream media cannot ignore.



They will try to spin, but they won't be successful. They're going to have to do it before the election with enough time to create a firestorm that is going to affect the outcome.

In other words, they have to time this carefully. They can't do it in the summer because no one is paying attention. They can't do it right after school starts because everybody is getting back to school. It's going to happen in that month to a month and a half prior to the election – if anything happens at all.

**Fitts:** The Bohemian Grove is at the end of July. Remember the Appropriations Committee knocks out the appropriations, and then the decision-making goes to the Grove. They give the final kill or no-kill. Then the bureaucrats – the SES's – have the August to Early September (through Labor Day), so they have four to six weeks to implement so that when Congress comes back, they rubber stamp.

**Farrell:** That's why I'm saying that it has to be after Labor Day.

**Fitts:** So, the decision will be made at the end of July/early August after the Grove decision.

**Farrell:** If they are the ones behind the purge. They might be the ones who are the targets of it, who knows?

**Fitts:** They will be in the mix.



**Farrell:** You will be able to tell. If anything like what I am suggesting is happening or is going to happen in that time frame, you will be able to tell what the agenda is by who is in the purge. If they play it cleverly, they will mix it up so that it becomes difficult to tell. But my best guess is that it's that time frame, and we will be able – through careful analysis – to determine what the ultimate game plan is. Is it the 'Rape of Russia' scenario? Is it 'Get rid of the never-do-wells'? Exactly what is it?

**Fitts:** I don't see it clearly yet.

**Farrell:** I don't see it clearly either because it hasn't been revealed.

**Fitts:** Here is my message: Together we can figure this out, but we all need to be looking and feeding back intelligence.

**Farrell:** Keep those articles coming.

**Fitts:** . Keep those articles and that analysis coming because that's what we are; we're becoming an intelligence network.

**Farrell:** We're a community-driven website. I depend on what people send me because I don't have time to do it myself. People send me so much, and I don't have time to do it myself anymore.

So, rely on your own instincts as to what is out there. But that is my guess – it will be that time frame, and not only individuals but potentially corporations. We don't know if some of those indictments are against corporations. We simply don't know.



**Fitts:** What does scripture say about “Through the glass darkly”?

**Farrell:** Well, this is definitely it.

**Fitts:** This has been a fascinating discussion. It’s amazing. Every time I do this, I drive here and I think that I really understand. Then I get with you, and it’s like – wow! We go to a whole new level.

**Farrell:** Good. I’m glad I’m worth the drive.

**Fitts:** You’re worth many drives. That’s it for News Trends & Stories, Part I. Next week is News Trends & Stories, Part II with the top ten stories in culture, science and technology, space, and then we’ll talk about food and health, go local, unanswered questions, and inspiration.

Until next week with Dr. Joseph Farrell, don’t worry about if there is a conspiracy. If you’re not in a conspiracy – as you can hear from what we’re discussing – you need to start one.



## **MODIFICATION**

Transcripts are not always verbatim. Modifications are sometimes made to improve clarity, usefulness and readability, while staying true to the original intent.

## **DISCLAIMER**

Nothing on The Solari Report should be taken as individual investment advice. Anyone seeking investment advice for his or her personal financial situation is advised to seek out a qualified advisor or advisors and provide as much information as possible to the advisor in order that such advisor can take into account all relevant circumstances, objectives, and risks before rendering an opinion as to the appropriate investment strategy.